Minutes of the 68<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Maharashtra Coastal Zone Management Authority (MCZMA) held under Chairmanship of Secretary (Environment) on 14<sup>th</sup> March 2011 at Mantralaya, Mumbai

The list of members present in the meeting is enclosed as Annexure-I.

Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Mantralaya, Mumbai, Secretary (Fisheries), Agriculture & ADF Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, President (Vanarai Pratishthan); Dr. (Mrs.) Leela J. Bhosale (Botanist) and Dr. S. K. Gupta, Centre for Environmental Science & Engineering, IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai could not attend the meeting. The Meeting was adjourned for 30 minutes for want of quorum.

Item No. 1: Confirmation of Minutes of the 67<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Maharashtra Coastal Zone

Management Authority (MCZMA) held under Chairmanship of Secretary

(Environment) on 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2010 at Mantralaya, Mumbai

The minutes of 87<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Maharashtra Coastal Zone Management Authority were confirmed by the members of the Authority present in the meeting without any modifications.

Authority noted that, MoEF has issued new CRZ Notification, 2011 on 06.01.2011 which has superseded the CRZ Notification, 1991. Authority further noted that the approved letters of the 67<sup>th</sup> MCZMA meeting (in which the decisions were taken as per the CRZ Notification, 1991) are yet to be issued. Authority also noted the guidelines given by MoEF vide letter dated 08.02.2011 regarding consideration of projects in pipeline attracting CRZ Notification, 2011. With respect to the decision taken in the 67<sup>th</sup> MCZMA meeting and in light of the MoEF guidelines vide letter dated 08.02.2011. Authority decided to:

- Issue the letters in case of the considered proposals having investment cost less than Rs.
   crores.
- Invite afresh applications as per the CRZ Notification, 2011 in case of the projects having investment cost more than Rs. 5 crores.

Authority also noted that, in the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting, the status of various individual reclassification proposals was also discussed, wherein it was decided that a comprehensive reclassification proposal for entire area (on detailed scrutiny, site visit and consideration in MCZMA meetings) should be forwarded to MoEF/ NCZMA as per the decision taken in the 18<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> meetings of NCZMA, instead of sending individual reclassification proposals. This decision was to be conveyed to the project proponents. However, it was decided to consider the proposals on preparation of Coastal Zone Management Plan (CZMP) in accordance with the CRZ Notification, 2011.

With respect to the minutes regarding the Item No. 6 (Complaint received from Shri Rajesh Shah against the development of Plot No.6, CTS No. 567/51 of Village Juhu, Janaki Kutir, Juhu Church Road, Mumbai), Authority discussed in depth about the submitted report by the

Parah

constituted committee as per the decision taken in the 67th meeting of MCZMA. The submitted report was taken on records by the Authority and it was decided to send it to McEF for further necessary action in the matter as per the order dated 01.12.2010 of Hon. High Court of Mumbai.

#### Item No. 2: Discussion on MoEF letter dated 08.02.2011

(Consideration of projects in pipeline attracting Coastal Regulation Zone Notification, 2011 issued vide SO No. 19(E) dated 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2011 and Island Protection Zone (IPZ) Notification issued vide SO 20(E) dated 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2011)

The MoEF Office Memorandum dated 08.02.2011 was discussed in depth in the meeting.

After delibearions, Authority decided to follow the procedure for CRZ Clearance for the projects attracting Coastal Regulation Zone Notification, 2011 as follows:

Sr.	Status	Action
No. 1	Projects attracting Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) Notification, 1991 which have been submitted in the concerned State/UT Coastal Zone Management Authorities.	The concerned State/ UT Coastal Zone Management Authority after examination of the projects in accordance with the CRZ 2011 or the IPZ Notification, 2011, as the case may be, shall recommend or reject the projects. The recommended project shall be forwarded for appraisal to State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority/McEF, in accordance with the EIA Notification, 2006. Those projects which
2	Considered and recommended by State/UT Coastal Zone Management Authority but not yet sent to MoEF	do not attract EIA notification shall be forwarded to MoEF for clearance.  Action may be taken as per para 1 above.
3	Received from State/UT Coastal Zone Management Authority and not yet sent to Central EAC/MoEF	Such projects which fall in 'B' category shall be sent for appraisal to SEIAA concerned and those projects which fall in 'A' category or do not attract EIA Notification, 2006 or where SEIAA has not been constituted shall be examined by Centre EAC/ MoEF under the CRZ 2011 and IPZ 2011.
4	Those projects which are pending with the Central EAC/MoEF but final recommendation not yet provided by EAC	Action may be taken as per item 3 above.
5	Recommended by Central EAC under	Decision shall be taken by MoEF in light of CRZ

Pauch

Sr. No.	Status	ACTION	
1881-2	the CRZ Notification, 1991 and pending with MoEF	2011 and IPZ 2011.	

Further, Authority also decided that matters with investment of less than Rs. 5.00 crores which were considered in the 67<sup>th</sup> Meeting of MCZMA held on 22<sup>nd</sup> December, 2010 and recommended for CRZ Clearance as per the CRZ Notification 1991 will be informed to concern Planning Authority since they were decided prior to the issuance of CRZ Notification, 2011 dated 06.01.2011. However, the matters with investment more than Rs. 5.00 Crores which are considered and recommended to MoEF, New Delhi will be considered afresh as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011, Authority decided to request project proponents of such projects to submit application as prescribed in Rule 4.2 of the CRZ Notification, 2011 along with checklist available on website of MCZMA.

Authority, however, deliberated on the rule 4.2(d) which prescribes mandatory submission of CRZ map in the scale of 1:4000 prepared by one of the authorized agency approved by MoEF as per the guidelines in Annexure-I of CRZ Notification, 2011. Authority noted the difficulties placed before it for getting such map from authorized agency. Cost for getting such maps quoted by the agency is around Rs. 3 Lakhs per kilometer and minimum time required for preparation of such map is 6 to 8 months. Further, number of people/ project proponents are requesting that they should be exempted from such exercise as their proposals are already considered by MCZMA. It was also noted that, construction cost of the house in rural areas is less than the cost required for obtaining the map from authorized agency.

Therefore, after deliberations. Authority decided to adopt following for scrutinizing the proposals with information to MoEF as follows:

- Till the time new CRZ map as per the guidelines of CRZ Notification, 2011 is not ready, maps approved by MoEF as per CRZ Notification, 1991 should be allowed to use as per rule 5(xii) of CRZ Notification, 2011 and condition of CRZ map in the scale of 1:4000 should not be made applicable for such time till the CZMP is prepared as per CRZ Notification, 2011.
  - However, map of 1:4000 scale should be mandatory in case of plots on the seaward side of existing road to ascertain the accuracy of the location of the plot.
  - 3. For the cases which were considered as per CRZ Notification, 1991 and were recommended to MoEF or pending with MoEF and referred back to State Government should be considered on the basis of approved CZMP by MoEF without insisting of new map of 1:4000 from authorized agency, since proposals are pending for clearance for more than one year. Such pending proposals should be processed as per approved CZMP of Maharashtra and Mumbai which is in the scale of 1:25000 as per rule 5(xii) of CRZ Notification, 2011.

Valler

Item No. 3: Discussion regarding implementation of CRZ Notification, 2011 and procedure for submission of proposal

Clarifications issued by the MoEF vide letter dated 24th February, 2011 noted by the Authority. After deliberations, Authority decided as follows:

- 1. Authority decided to nominate name of Principal Secretary, Environment Department, Government of Maharashtra as the First Appellate Authority to provide information as per the provision of CRZ Notification, 2011. Further, Chief Engineer (DP) of Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai; CEO (SRA), CEO (MHADA) and CEO (Shivshahi Punarvasan Prakalp Limited) will be nominated for the post of CPIO/s after confirmation of the same from the concerned Departments. Nominations for the First Appellate Authority and CPIO/s will be sent to MoEF for further necessary action.
- 2. Authority decided to issue orders under Section 5 of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 to all Urban Local Bodies, Ports, ONGC, Mining Department, owners of the boat-houses and aquaculture units, Fisheries Commissioner, Member Secretary, MPCB and CEO, Maritime Board to prepare comprehensive action plan involving reputed scientific institutions for identification, quantification of pollution load and treatment for sewage and solid waste generated from coastal towns, cities and industries etc. The plan shall include time-bound action plan for installation of treatment facilities and disposal mechanism of the treated effluents/ sewage/ ballast water. Based on the comprehensive plan which shall be prepared within one year from the date of receipt of letter dated 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2011, the Authority shall implement the plan in phased manner in consultation with the stakeholders. Therefore, Authority decided to request Secretary (Urban Development Department), Director (Municipal Administration), MPCB, Fisheries Commissioner & Tourism Department to direct concerned field agencies/ offices and planning authorities to prepare comprehensive plan to set up a mechanism to treat sewage/ disposal generated from that area within a stipulated timeframe.

Authority also decided to request Department of Fisheries, CIDCO, MHADA and MCGM to identify the areas where natural fish drying can be permitted. As per the direction of the MoEF the identification of the above areas may be carried out immediately and areas such identified by concerned Department should be made available to public within period of six months. Authority further decided to request MCGM, CIDCO, MMRDA, Urban Development Department, Housing Department, Fisheries Department and Revenue Department to provide the list and maps showing locations of identified and notified Koliwadas in CRZ areas of Mumbai as well as dwelling units of fisher folks in the coastal areas of Maharashtra as per the mandate of the CRZ Notification, 2011.

Value

Authority also noted MoEF directions that the hazardous line mapping will be completed by the year 2015, till such time hazard line maps are prepared, hazard line should not be considered for processing applications.

Authority also noted that projects attracting EIA notification, 2006 will be sent to SEIAA and MoEF as per their category for further processing. The projects which are not covered under EIA Notification and attracting CRZ Notification, 2011 will require clearance from MoEF as per the clarification of MoEF.

It was also decided to review the status of preparation of comprehensive action plan by Government under the Chairmanship of Chief Secretary comprising Secretary-I & II of Urban Development Department, Municipal Commissioners of coastal Municipal Corporations and Director, Municipal Administration. Secretary (Environment) will be the Member Secretary of the said committee.

Item No. 4: Discussion on MoEF letter dated 25.01.2011

(Directions under Section 5 of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 regarding identification of violations under the Coastal Regulation Zone Notification, 1991 and initiation of action thereon)

Authority deliberated on the MoEF's order dated 25.01.2011 to MCZMA directing under Section 5 of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 to identify violations under the Coastal Regulation Zone, 1991 and initiation of action thereon. In the MoEF letter it is clarified that CRZ Notification, 2011 does not condone any violations of CRZ Notification, 1991, unless otherwise and explicitly provided in these two Notifications. In this regard, authority noted the directions given by MoEF under Section 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 as follows:

- a) To identify the violations of the Coastal Regulation Zone Notification, 1991 and the approved Coastal Zone Management Plan thereunder within their respective jurisdiction in a period of four months from the receipt of these directions.
- b) To initiate action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 upon the identified violations within four months thereafter.
- c) To upload the relevant details of the identified violations, including the action taken on the violations, as per paras (a) and (b) above on their respective website, every fortnight.

Authority decided to issue orders under Section 5 of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 to all urban local bodies in the coastal area, Tourism Department, Maharashtra Maritime Board, MPCB, Deputy Director (Town Planning) to identify violations as per the CRZ Notification, 1991. It was also decided to constitute the Task Force under the Chairmanship of District Collector of all coastal districts. The constitution of the Task Force Committee is as follows:

- Chairman: District Collector
- Members: Assistant Director (Town Planning)/ Town Planner, Regional Officer/ Sub Regional Officer (Maharashtra Pollution Control Board), District Forest Officer of the

Paller.

District, 2 members from local bodies, 2 local citizens from the District to be nominated by District Collector

For Municipal Corporations of Greater Mumbai, Thane and Mira-Bhayandar, such Task
Forces will be constituted under the Additional Commissioner & Commissioner
respectively comprising Ward Officers and the other representatives as above.

Heads of the urban local bodies will identify the violations as follows:

- Identification of violations in rural & urban areas covered under CRZ (violations viz. buildings, hotels & resorts, industries, jetty or any other activities in CRZ-I (beach, inter-tidal and mangroves area), CRZ-II & CRZ-III areas without permission under CRZ Notification, 1991).
  - Identification of violations by buildings, hotels & resorts, jetty etc. by noncompliance of CRZ clearance conditions.
  - List of the cases having CRZ permission from competent authorities.
  - The concerned District Collector will send the report of identified violations as above to the Environment Department and MCZMA.

All such violations identified by Task Force and concerned Planning Authorities will be sent to Environment Department and MCZMA/ and MoEF for further necessary action.

#### Item No. 5: Constitution of District Level Committees as per CRZ Notification, 2011

Authority noted that as per CRZ Notification, 2011 State Government has to constitute District Level Coastal Monitoring Committee to comply with the provision of CRZ Notification and for the purpose of implementation of the provisions of CRZ Notification. After deliberation Authority approved the constitution of District Level Coastal Monitoring Committee under the Chairmanship of District Collector of concerned District comprising of Superintendent of Police/Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Forest Officer of the concerned district, Representative from Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Sub-Divisional Officer of the Coastal Sub Divisions of the Districts, District Superintendent of Land Records. Commissioner or his representative Coastal Municipal Corporation, District Town Planning Officer, (Deputy Director/Assistant Director of Town Planning), District Assistant Commissioner of Fisheries, Chief Officers of Coastal Municipal Councils, Representative of Traditional Coastal Communities or fisher folk (minimum 3) To be nominated by District Collector, Regional Officer/Sub Regional Officer of Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, two eminent expert in the Coastal issues (to be nominated by Collector), and Resident Deputy Collector as member secretary of the committee.

Powers and functions of the District Committees can be as follows:

 The Committee shall have the powers to take measures for protecting and improving the quality of the coastal environment and for preventing, abating and controlling environmental pollution in the coastal areas of the State of Maharashtra.

Valapha

- The Committee shall take time bound steps for identification of violations of the provisions of CRZ Notification and the approved CZMP there under, for initiation of action under the Environment Protection Act, 1986.
- 3) The Committee shall identify violation in CRZ-I areas (i.e. encroachment on Mangroves, Chowpatty, Beach area, etc.), CRZ-II areas and CRZ-III areas, within their respective jurisdiction and initiate action under Section 19 of the Environment Protection Act 1986, under the powers delegated to District Collector and Sub Divisional Officer under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 in their respective jurisdiction.
- 4) The Committee shall send the details of the identified violations including action taken to the Environment Department and the Maharashtra Coastal Zone Management Authority.
  - 5) The Committee shall send its monthly report to the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, the Secretary, Environment Department, Govt. of Maharashtra and the Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra.
- 6) The Committee shall be responsible for removal of encroachments and unauthorized structures, coastal areas of CRZ-I, CRZ-II and CRZ-III, if necessary, the District Collector being the Chairman of the Committee is directed to use powers as vested in him to levy penalty or to recover cost from unauthorized constructions.
- 7) The Committee shall also identify the areas which need special conservation and protection measures under its jurisdiction and recommend it to the Maharashtra Coastal Zone Management Authority and the State Environment Department for further necessary action. If required the Committee may consult or obtain opinion of Expert agencies, Institutes, Universities etc. in the field in this regard.
- 8) The Committee shall also monitor compliance of the conditions stipulated in the Coastal Regulation Zone Notification and Environment Clearance accorded to the Project and shall submit its quarterly report to the Environment Department and Maharashtra Coastal Zone Management Authority.
- The Member Secretary of the Committee will be responsible for maintaining all records of the Committee.
- 10) The Committee will also take on priority the issues/complaints of coastal communities including fishermen and if desires so, may recommend the matter to the concerned department in the State Government for appropriate action.
- 11) The Committee shall undertake review of cases involving violation of the provisions of the said Act and the rules made there under or under any other law which are related to the project of the said Act, and if found necessary may refer such cases, with comments, for review to the Environment Department / State Coastal Zone Management Authority.
- 12) The Committee can take up cases suo-motu or on the basis of complaints made by an individual or representative body or through news paper report or through MCZMA or an organization or any member of the Committee. The Committee may refer cases to the

Phhkher.

- concerned authorities/ departments in the district for appropriate legal action, if needed.

  Committee shall submit its action taken report on complaints to Department of

  Environment and Divisional Commissioner, Konkan on every month.
- 13) The Committee shall identify ecological sensitive areas in the CRZ and formulate area specific management plan for such identified areas for onward recommendation to State Government.
- 14) The foregoing powers and functions of the Committee shall be subject to the supervision and control of the State Government.
- 15) Any matter specifically not falling within the scope and jurisdiction of the Committee as so constituted shall be dealt with by the statutory authorities concerned at the district level.
- 16) District Police authorities will assist this Committee to help in removing encroachment, unauthorized and illegal structures from coastal regulation zone areas.
- 17) The materials and assets forfeited and conspicuted from the site of violation can be kept in custody of forest department or police department as concerned in the matter.
- 18) Any appeal against the decision of the District Committee can be reviewed by the Secretary Environment and Chairman of the MCZMA.
- 19) The Collector shall present the report of DCZMC in the every meeting of DPDC Committee.
- 20) Committee will provide all assistance and will co-ordinate in preparing Coastal Zone Management Plan of the district and mapping hazard line in the coastal areas as per the directions of the State and Central Government.

# Item No. 6: Preparation of Coastal Zone Management Plan (CZMP) as per CRZ Notification, 2011

Authority noted the para 5 of CRZ Notification, 2011, in which the issue of 'Preparation of Coastal Zone Management Plan' has been covered. Authority also noted that, as per the provisions of the CRZ Notification, 2011, the local bodies/ planning authorities shall prepare the CZMP maps (in the scale of 1:4000) identifying and classifying CRZ area within the respective territory in accordance with guidelines given in Annexure-I of the CRZ Notification, 2011.

Authority also noted that, as per the para 5(xii), the CZMPs already approved under CRZ Notification, 1991 shall be valid for a period of twenty four months unless the aforesaid period is extended by MoEF by a specific notification subject to such terms and conditions as may be specified therein. Authority noted that, the State Government has CZMP maps of coastal urban local bodies (coastal Municipal Councils and Corporations) of Districts Thane, Raigad, Ratnagiri and Sindhudurg prepared by Centre for Earth Science & Studies (CESS), Kerala (one of the MoEF authorized agencies) in the scale of 1:4000.

Valaka

In light of the provisions of para 5 and guidelines as per the Annexure-I of the CRZ Notification, 2011, Authority decided following:

- The State shall get the CZMP prepared in the scale of 1,4000 or less from any of the MoEF authorized agency and send its draft to MoEF for approval within the timeframe stipulated in CRZ Notification, 2011.
- To direct all the coastal urban local bodies (coastal Municipal Councils and Corporations) of Maharashtra to get the local level CZMPs prepared in the scale of 1:4000 from any of the MoEF authorized agency in accordance with the CRZ Notification, 2011.
- In case of the CZMPs prepared in accordance with CRZ Notification, 1991 and which
  are yet to be approved, shall be forwarded to MoEF for necessary approval as they
  can be valid for scrutinizing the proposals for timeframe stipulated in CRZ Notification,
  2011.

Till the time CZMP as per CRZ Notification, 2011 is not ready, proposals will be processed as per the CZMP approved under CRZ Notification, 1991.

### Item No. 7: Constitution of Sub-Committee for mangroves conservation projects

Authority took on record all the invited project proposals of mangrove conservation which are received in the department. Authority noted that, these proposals are for conservation and protection of mangroves and as per the Hon. High Court order dated 27 01.2010 in PIL No. 87/2006, areas covered by mangroves are declared as forests. Considering this, Authority opined that, these proposals may be handed over to the Forest Department as proposals involve conservation of mangroves on forest areas and can also be sent to MoEF for funding. In this regard, Authority also noted that, 'Taluka Level Coastal Monitoring Committees' have been constituted by the coastal District Collectors of Maharashtra for the protection and conservation of mangroves under the directions of Revenue & Forest Department. Therefore, Authority decided not to constitute the Sub-Committee for the above said purpose.

Item No. 8: Status of the Court Cases

Authority noted and took on record the status of the court cases related to MCZMA as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of the petitioner	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
1	Bombay	PIL No. 87/	Declaration and	1) Mangroves area under	Authority noted the
	Environmental	2006	notification of	Govt. land of Mumbai	status. Mangrove maps/
	Action Group		mangroves are of	and Navi Mumbai has	data of rural areas
	V/s		maharashtra as	been declared as	indicating survey
	State of		protected forest	protected forest	numbers under

Palraker

Sr. No.	A SERVINENCE SON	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
	Maharashtra		and forest	Regarding declaration of mangroves area of rest of maharashtra,     Affidavits have been filed by Chief Secretary.	mangroves have been provided to Settlement Commissioner. Data for Municipal Councils have been provided by CESS Kerala to Settlement Commissioner.
2	Shri Simprit Singh V/s Shri Shah Rukh Khan	PIL No. 76/2008	Construction of 6 storey building Mannat Banglow without obtaining CRZ clearance	1) Copies of the various permissions obtained for the construction of 6-storied building have been called from the developer.  2) Affidavit was filed Case Disposed Off	Authority noted the status.
3	Andheri New Kapaswadi Juhu Ekta CHS	WIP No.161/2008		Issue raised in the W.P. is mostly related to MoEF.	Authority noted the status.
4	D. N. Nagar New CHS, V/s Union of India	WIP No. 2776/2008	With reference to Permission Granted to Ruchita developers by MoEF in the same area, Case was file in the Court.	Case Disposed Off	Authority noted the status.
5	M/s. Ganesh Benzoplast Itd. V/s Bharat Petroleum	WIP 7875/2008	To allow the Storage of Chemicals in CRZ area at JNPT.	Case Disposed off.	Authority noted the status.
3	Bombay Environmental Action Group V/s	PIL No. 9/2009	Construction of pucca 20" road in CRZ I area in Nandgaon Village,	Instructions are issued on 31.01.2009 to District Collector to stop the construction of road and	Authority noted the status.

Valler

Sr. No.	Name of the petitioner	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
	The State of Maharashtra		Dist. Raigad Instructions are issued on 31/01/2009 to District Collector to stop the construction of road and mining activity. Report is awaited.	mining activity. Report is awaited.  2) Affidavit was filed in the High Court by Member Secretary.  Case disposed off.	
	Mr. Edwin Britto V/s Union of India & others	PIL No. 11/ 2009		vide letter dated 04.03.2009 to Divisional Commissioner (Konkan Division), Collector (Mumbai Suburban District) & Municipal Commissioner (MCGM) 2) Collector (Mumbai Suburban District) has replied vide letter dated 31.07.2009 mentioning that construction of pagoda has been done based on accord from Govt. & Urban Development Dept. The construction is as per MCGM's Development Pian. MCZMA is required to ascertain whether there is violation of CRZ	Authority noted the status. Authority directed to get detailed reply from Global Vipashana Foundation and MMRDA
8	Kasim Mohd Badshah	PILNo. 18/2009	Erection of Hoarding in mangroves area at	1) Instructions issued to Division Commissioner, Collector, Thane &	Authority noted the status.

Valiker.

Sr. No.	Name of the petitioner	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
		The state of the s	Vashi	NMMC to remove the hoarding erected in CRZ area in Thane Dist.  2) Affidavit was filed Case Disposed Off	
9	Shri Amit Maru	PIL No. 64/2009	Construction of Hotel at Girgaon Chowpatty As discussed in 49 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the MCZMA	The project proponent, Collector, Mumbai city, MD, MTDC was invited for the hearing before the Authority. Case Disposed Off	Authority noted the status.
10	Simpreet Singh V/s State Govt. Of Maharashtra	PIL No. 96/2009	Unauthorized construction of building in CRZ area, at Malabar Hill	Directions issued to submit the Documents regarding permissions/ clearances from different Statutory Authorities. Case Disposed Off	Authority noted that court order passed on 9 <sup>th</sup> February, 2011 in the matter regarding PIL 96/2009. As per Court order, M/s. Layer Export
				(with the directions that M/s. Layers Export Ltd. should reply to MCZMA's Show Cause Notice dated 31.07.2009).	Ltd. shall reply to authority's directions dated 31.7.2009 within 4 weeks from 9 <sup>th</sup> February, 2011 and after hearing the party, MCZMA shall pass an appropriate ord- within 3 months.
3505	No.				Accordingly, the party (M/s. Layer Export Ltd.) was invited for the hearing during the meeting. However, the party did not attend the hearing, which was taken on record by the authority.

Pahler.

Sr. No.	Name of the petitioner	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
11	Mr. Mohammad Kasim A Badashah V/s state of Maharashtra	PIL No. 110/2009		Permission for the construction in the CRZ area was given by Urban Development Department & Collector Mumbai. Hence the matter referred to UD Dept. for necessary.  Case Disposed Off	Authority noted the status.
12	Koustubh Dattatraya Gokhale V/s State of Maharashtra	W.P. 277/2009	Violation of CRZ Notification 1991 by KDMC. Regarding Violation by dumping MSW in CRZ area	As WP withdrawn, the case was disposed off on 22.07.2009.	Authority noted the status.
13	Smt. Sulbha Karandikar V/s Government of Maharashtra	W.P. 990/2009	Challenge to the Letter given by Collector Suburban for Stopping the Boating activities at Juhu Chowpatty	Patition dismissed on 12.01.2010 (Court says, considering the decision of the State Govt., nothing survives in the petition).	Authority noted the status.
14	Vijay Khairnar V/s SRA	W.P. 6349/2009	Challenged the Permission Granted by MoEF, to Shree Sidhhi Sagar SRA CHS vide letter dated 4 <sup>th</sup> March 2008.		Authority noted the status.
15	Shri V. P. kumar V/s SRA	W.P. 6354/2009	Challenged the Permission Granted by MoEF, to Shree Sidhhi Sagar SRA CHS vide letter dated 4th March 2008 in CRZ area falls in CRZ-I		Authority noted the status.

Palether.

## Page 14 of 28

Sr. No.	Name of the petitioner	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
			& CRZ-II., without actual verification of the plot by Competent Authority		
16	Dinesh Surendra Kotecha and Ors. V/s Union of India.	WP (Stamp) No. 28596/2009	Challenge the refusal of NOC for Construction at CTS No. 299 C, Walni, Link road Malad by Urban development department vide letter dated 16	Case disposed off	Authority noted the status.
	POLICE IN		October 2009.  Mentioned about the rejection of proposal by MCZMA in its 54 <sup>th</sup> meeting held on 16 <sup>th</sup> July 2009.		
17	Andre Motors	WP No. 1708/2009	The Andre Motors has filed a revised petition regarding the permission granted to the SRA Project to be Developed at	06.03.2009	Authority noted the status.
			Belani Nagar, Worli. The petitioner has challenged the Imaginary line drawn for giving permission to the above said project.		

Valler

Sr.	Name of the petitioner	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
		Topico del controlo del control	Necessary information regarding the authorization of the structures considered for drawing imaginary line was called from S R Authority & the developer.		
18	Santosh B.  More V/s  State of  Maharashtra	PIL No. 253/2009	Issue is related illegal permission granted by Chief Officer, Dahanu for construction of building.	Environment Department was not the respondent. However, Hon. High Court directed Secretary (Environment) to file affidavit by order dated 03.02.2010. Affidavit filed on	Authority noted the status.
	Commission white	Source His dis- including missing agreements	orione otto-b	16.02.2009.  Case disposed off on 23.02.2011.	Emarks Emarks
19	Amit Maru V/s The General Manager Western Railway Mumbai	PIL No.137/2009	Challenge the permission granted by MoEF for construction of Wankhade Stadium in CRZ area.	Hon. High Court directed Municipal Corporation to submit the FSI consumed in 1973-74 and after 19 <sup>th</sup> February 1991 vide order dated 12.03.2010.	Authority noted the status.
20	Santosh Daundkar V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.	PIL No. 27/2010	Construction started in CRZ area by Adarsh Co.op. H. Soc. Colaba. Without permission of Maharshtra Coastal Zone Management	Affidavit has been filed	Authority noted the status.

Valle.

## Page 16 of 28

Sr. No.	Name of the petitioner	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the
	potitioner				Authority
21	Jeevan Estate Co-op. Ho. Soc. Ltd & ors. V/s Municiapal Corporation of Greater Mumbal & ors.	WRP No. 453/2010	Authority.  Challenge the permission granted to M/s Kshetra Real Estate Pvt. Ltd. Plot bearing C.S.  No.567/51 village Juhu by MoEF vide letter dated 24th  August, 2009 in CRZ area.	Case disposed off on  1st December 2010; with directions that matter should be examined in its entirety afresh by Environment Department Appropriate decision should be delivered within 3 months by MCZMA and MoEF. Report was ready and placed in MCZMA's 68 <sup>th</sup>	Authority noted the status. Authority discussed in depth about the submitted report by the constituted committee as per the decision taken in the 67th meeting of MCZMA. The submitted report was taken on records by the Authority and it was decided to send it to MoEF for taking appropriate decision in
22	Society for	PIL 71/ 2010	Challenge the	meeting on 14.03.2011.  Instructions are issued to	the matter as per the order dated 01.12.2010 of Hon. High Court of Mumbai.  Authority noted the
-10	Equality, Awareness		violation of CRZ notification by Sardar Patel	Sport Complex to submit the detail documents regarding permissions	status
	and Rights V/s The National Sports Club of India & others	partice for the particular to	Stadium	from various departments vide letter dated 08.02.2011 with copy to MCGM. Two court orders have been passed in the matter till date.	
23	Dilip Nevatia  V/s  Union of India	PIL 992/ 2010	Challenge the construction of Sea Link Road, Worli to Nariman Point	Affidavit filed on 22.10.2010.	Authority noted the status.
24	Mr. Edwin Britto V/s	PIL(L75)/ 2010	Demanding stopping, revoking and scrapping of	Letter issued on 10.05.2010 to Divisional Commissioner (Konkan	Authority noted the status.

Valle

r.	Name of the	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
0.	petitioner				Authority
	The Secretary (Environment) & Chairman (MCZMA)	PART 2200	proposals sanctioned by your department in violation of CRZ Notification of 1991 & orders of the Hon. Bombay High Court at Sai Baba Co-op House. Society. Ltd., RSC- 22& 61, at MHADA layout, Charkop, Kandivali (W) and bearing file no CHE/A- 0871/WS(BP)AR and CHE/A- 4216/WS(BP)AR, and of four buildings in RSC Road No 25, Charkop, Kandivali	Division), Collector (Thane), Municipal Commissioner / Deputy Commissioner of Police, Thane to examine the matter and take appropriate action and send the action taken report. Pending for pre- admission in court.	
	keyling dyallog	Particular (Constitution of the Constitution o	(W), Mumbai	3843	"Section 12"
25		PIL W.P.No. 84/2010	Shri Greg Digo Miranda has started construction work on Plot No. CST 1082 of village Manori, Borivali area in CRZ area and said area also fall in No Development Zone.	Petition disposed off on 21.01.2011 with directions that corporation will pass appropriate order in the case.	Authority noted the status.
26	Mr. Edwin Britto V/s	PIL 35 / 2009	construcion work of the pagoda on CTS No. 1917, 1923,	1) Issued Show Cause Notice vide letter dated 16.11.2011.	Authority noted the status.

Pallel

Sr.	Name of the petitioner	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
	Union of India	Programme of the control of the cont	1925, 1940 of village Goral in CRZ area without obtaining the CRZ permission from MCZMA	2) PAN INDIA Pvt. Ltd. has replied to the Notice vide letter dated 8.2.2011	
27	Adarsh CHS V/s Union of India	WP 6308/2010	Challenged the order of MMRDA and MCGM for withdrawal of OC and disconnection of water & electricity supply.	Affidavit filed	Authority noted the status.
28	Ediwin Britto V/s Secretary Union of Indian	PIL No 101/ 2010	Started the construction work at Dahisar without obtaining the CRZ clearance and destructing the mangroves	Disposed off on 18.01.2011	Authority noted the status.
29	Indian Navy, Western Naval Command through Flat officer V/s State of Maharashtra	WP NO 2368/ 2010	Completed the construction work of building named as Harsiddhi Heights' without obtaining the CRZ clearance.	to MCGM and UDD to submit the detail report in the matter vide letter dated 15.12.2010 and SRA to 18.12.2010.  2) Instructions issued to developer of Harsiddhi Heights to submit the documents of permission from various departments.  3) Affidavit has been filed.	Authority decided to obtain the information from SRA related to proposed height/ actual height of the constructed building/ FSI consumed in the construction.  Authority considered the reports received from SRA, Urban Development Department and reply of the developers. Authority after discussion, noted that, no CRZ clearance is obtained by project proponent from

Valle.

Sr. No.	Name of the petitioner	Case No.	Subject	Status of the Case	Decision of the Authority
					competent authorities as required under CRZ Notification, 1991. Since final permission to the project was given on 30.03.2005 by SRA, therefore Authority decided to issue directions under Section 5 of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
30	Veer Jijamata Nagar Cooperative Housing Society V/s State of Maharashtra	WP 2353/ 2010	Started the work of Sewage Dispose Project at Worli on CTS No 17/47n (pt) without obtaining the CRZ Clearance.	1) Issued Section 5 Notice to Lokhandwala Infrastructure Private Ltd. vide letter dated 18.12.2010. 2) Lokhandwala Infrastructure replied vide letter dated 24.01.2011.	Authority noted the status. Authority decided to issue stop work notice to the project.

## Item No. 9: Status of complaints received in the year 2011

Authority noted the status of the action taken with respect to the complaints received in the year 2011 which is as follows:

Sr. No.	File No.	Complaint	Complainant	Action Taken	Date of letter/
1	Complaint 2011/CR I/TC 3	Destruction of mangroves due to dumping at Lokhandwala Complex, Andheri	News in Times of India- 06.01.2011	Letter & reminder letter-1 issued on 7/1/2011 & 28/2/2011 respectively to Divisional Commissioner Konkan, Commissioner BMC, Collector, CCF, DCP Andheri	07.01.2011

Valikh

## Page 20 of 28

Sr. No.	3/2/3/02/75	Complaint	Complainant	Action Taken	Date of w
2	2011/CR 2/TC 3	Complaint against Rajendra Singh by Amit Maru for violation of CRZ Notification	Amit Maru	The file has been sent to Urban Development Department with request to give remarks	Put up on 18.01.2011
3	2011/CR 3/TC 3	destruction of mangroves at Mahul Chembur- in PAP project	Mr. Ravikant Said	Letter issued Divisional Commissioner Konkan, Member Secretary (MPCB), Collector (Mumbai suburbs), SP Mahul Chembur police station, CCF	Put up on 19.01.2011
4	Complaint 2011/CR 4/TC 3	Strong action against the builders, for unauthorized construction on CIDCO & Government land	Mr. Hemant Chavan	Letter sent to Managing Director (CIDCO), reply awaited	21.02.2015
5	Complaint 2011/CR 5/TC 3	Complaint against construction of speed boat project on the creek of Dharmtar Shahabaj in Raigad dist.	Mr. Madhukar Thakur	Letter sent to Collector Raigad, Superintendent of police Raigad	Put up on 17.2.2011
6	Complaint 2011/CR 6/TC 3	Information regarding M/s. Sea Green Co-op. Hsg. Soc. 7/15, Abdul Gafar Khan Rd., G South Ward, Worli, Mumbai	MPCB	Direction under Section 5 issued to M/s. Sea Green Co-op. Hsg. Soc.	01.02.2011
	Complaint 2011/CR 7/TC 3	Ragarding construction in CRZ II area on plot bearing C.S, No. 4/47 (pt), 47 (pt) of Lower Parel Div.	received through	Letter issued to Chief Executive Officer (SRA) with request to submit the present status	28.02.2011

Palikh

Sr. No.	File No.	Complaint	Complainant	Action Taken	Date of letter/ file put up
8	Complaint 2011/CR 8/TC 3	Devlopment work in CRZ Area by Vengurle Municipal Council	Atul Hule - Vengurle Nagari Kruti Samiti	Letter sent to Chief Officer, Vengurie Municipal Council	Put up on 14.02.2011
9	Complaint 2011/CR 9/TC 3	Environment damage & destruction of full grown trees by restaurant Owners dumping Garbage in Nallah		Complaint forwarded to Member Secretary (MPCB)	Put up on 22.02.2011
10	Complaint 2011/CR 10/TC 3	CRZ violation in 50 m area from Kelve- Jalasarang beach by construction of unauthorized bungalow on plot reserved for fisheries by Ramkrishna J. Tandel (ex-Sarpanch of Kelve Village)	Damodar Tandel	Letter sent to collector Thane, Regional Officer MPCB, Assistant director Town Planning, Thane, Tahsildar, Palghar	Put up on 17.02.2011
11	Complaint 2011/CR 11/TC 3	Reminders regarding complaints		Put up for letter approval	18.02.2011
12	Complaint 2011/CR 12/TC 3	Violation of CRZ Notification 1991	Federation of Churchgate Residents	Under process	-
13	2011/CR	News- State asks Rahejas to halt Exotica work	News from Mumbai Mirror	Letter sent to Member Secretary MPCB, Raheja Universal Ltd. File sent to Urban Development Department for opinion on violations.	Put up on 22.2.2011

Paheh

Sr. No.	File No.	Complaint	Complainant	Action Taken	Date of k
14	Complaint 2011/CR 14/TC 3	Complaint against large scale dumping in non developmental zone in Charkop village	United Association for Social, Educational & public welfare-Reji Abraham	Letter sent to Divisional Commissioner Konkan, Commissioner MCGM, DCP Zone11, DCF Thane, Collector Suburban	Put up on 25.2.2011
15	Complaint 2011/ CR 15/TC 3	Violation of NOC/ permission condition of MoEF & MCZMA given with respect to redevelopment of various properties		Put up for approval of letter to Municipal Commissioner (MCGM)	28.02.2011

Authority also took the review of the status of the actions taken on the complaints under process/ received in the year 2010, which is as follows:

Sr. No.	Subject	Consideration/ Decision of the Authority
1	WP 2368/ 2010	Authority considered the reports received from SRA, Urban
	regarding illegal	Development Department and reply of the developers. Authority,
	construction of	after discussion, noted that, no CRZ clearance is obtained by project
	Harsiddhi Heights	proponent from competent authorities as required under CRZ
	building at Worli	Notification, 1991. Since final permission to the project was given on
	Comments with 1950.	30.03.2005 by SRA, therefore Authority decided to issue directions
	CONTROL NAME AND ADDRESS OF	under Section 5 of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
2	Regarding violation of	Authority noted the background of the matter as the matter was
	CRZ norms by M/s.	placed earlier in the 50 <sup>th</sup> , 63 <sup>rd</sup> & 65 <sup>th</sup> meetings of MCZMA. M/s. Aegis
	Aegis Logistics Ltd.	Logistic Ltd. was directed to get survey of the area done from one of
		the MoEF authorized agency in the 65 <sup>th</sup> meeting of MCZMA.
		Authority also noted the order issued under Section 5 of
	a mineral a language and	Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 to the company for non-
		compliance of the order. Representative from M/s. Aegis Logistics
		Ltd. presented the fresh CZMP prepared by CESS, Kerala in the
	, check that	scale of 1:4000. Authority noted the comparative distinction between
		the CZMP of Mumbai in 1:25000 and new CZMP (prepared by
		CESS in the scale of 1:4000 as per CRZ Notification, 2011)
		presented by M/s. Aegis Logistic Ltd. On observation of the map

Valuer

Sr.	Subject	Consideration/ Decision of the Authority
No.		
	C There's May 40 may 62's	prepared by CESS, authority found that the survey from Southern side of the plot under reference has not been carried out. Further, there is change in HTL in the new survey. Authority also noted that as per the approved CZMP the plot under consideration is surrounded by mangroves which is declared as a protected forest as per Hon. High Court's order.  Representative from M/s. Aegis Logistic Ltd. informed that survey of the Southern side of the plot could not be carried out owing to presence of extreme conditions like hot water discharge in the water body. After detailed discussion and deliberation, Authority decided to direct the M/s. Aegis Logistic Ltd. to get the survey done from all the sides of the plot under reference as well.  Authority also deliberated that since CRZ status of the plot is not finalized, M/s. Aegis Logistics Ltd. should only store 15 petroleum and chemical products as per Annexure-III of CRZ Notification, 1991 and Annexure-II of CRZ Notification, 2011.  Authority also decided to direct MPCB again to implement the directions of Authority to ensure that no violation of provisions of CRZ Notification, 1991 and 2011 are done by the company.  Authority also decided to refer the matter on non-compliance to MoEF for further action along with all details on the case. MPCB is also directed to survey other storage areas/ companies in the MbPT areas to ensure the compliance of CRZ Notification, 2011 and 1991 and send the report to the Authority within a month. Information should include:  1) CRZ status as of the storage tanks in MbPT area  2) Nature and names of chemicals handled by these storage tanks. Number of tanks with date of construction  4) Permission given by MPCB, Environment Department & MoEF 5) Recommendation on violation of Notification.
3	PIL 96/ 2009 regarding construction of building at Malabar Hill	Authority noted the current status and court order passed on 9 <sup>th</sup> February, 2011 in the matter regarding PIL 96/2009. As per Court order, M/s, Layer Export Ltd. shall reply to authority's directions dated 31.7.2009 within 4 weeks from 9 <sup>th</sup> February, 2011 and after hearing the party, MCZMA shall pass an appropriate order within 3 months. Accordingly, the party (M/s, Layer Export Ltd.) was invited

Palaka

Sr. No.	Subject	Consideration/ Decision of the Authority
		for the hearing during the meeting. However, the party did not attend the hearing, which was taken on record by the authority.
4	Illegal construction carried out at Dharamtar Creek, Raigad by PNP Maritime Services Ltd.	Authority noted the content of the complaint. Representative of the M/s. PNP Maritime Services Ltd. presented their stand along with the relevant documents. M/s. PNP Maritime Services Ltd. mentioned that they have obtained the CRZ clearance from MoEF vide letter dated 6.10.2003. The Maharashtra Maritime Board has granted them water front for development of port in 1998 vide letter dated 09.11.1998. They also informed that there were vacant structures on the site constructed during laying of Railway line to RCF Thal Plant during 1980's which are presently being utilized by M/s. PNP Maritime Services Ltd. The Collector, District Raigad also concurred on the same. Authority noted that complainant was absent during the meeting. Authority took on record the stand of the M/s. PNP Maritime Services Ltd. and the Collector, Raigad. Authority further decided to take final decision in the matter only after submission of the site visit report from the Collector, District Raigad for the site under reference.
5	Complaint regarding violation in Janaki Kutir case (WP 459/ 2009)	In case of the 'Complaint received from Shri Rajesh Shah against the development of Plot No.6, CTS No. 567/51 of Village Juhu, Janaki Kutir, Juhu Church Road, Mumbai', Authority discussed in depth about the submitted report by the constituted committee as per the decision taken in the 87 h meeting of MCZMA. The submitted report was taken on records by the Authority and it was decided to send it to MoEF for further necessary action in the matter as per the order dated 01.12.2010 of Hon. High Court of Mumbai. As per the report project proponent has to apply afresh for CRZ clearance.
6	Regarding construction of M/s. Sea Green CHS, Worli	Authority noted that, with respect to the received complaints and on considering the replies of MPCB and MCGM, Show Cause Notice has been issued to the project proponent M/s. Sea Green CHS vide letter dated 01 02 2011, with a copy marked to Municipal Commissioner, MCGM and Chief Engineer (DP), MCGM.
7	Complaint by Ashwashakti Welfare Association with respect to SRA scheme	Authority noted that, with respect to the complaint received from Ashwashakti Welfare Association, MCZMA issued letter to Metropolitan Commissioner (MMRDA), Collector (Mumbai Suburban District), Chief Executive Officer (SRA) to take necessary action

Valle

Sr. No.	Subject	Consideration/ Decision of the Authority
	plot bearing CS No. 1980(pt) of Fort Division & plot bearing CS No. 153A(pt), 150(pt) of Backbay Reclamation Scheme- III, Nariman Point, Mumbai	against the violation of CRZ Notification, 1991, if any and submit action taken report accordingly. Accordingly, SRA has submitted their reply vide letter dated 22.02.2011. The reply from MMRDA has been received mentioning that MMRDA has issued only its NOC for proposed SRA scheme on the plot under reference. Considering the above, Authority decided to take further necessary action in the matter. Authority noted the stop work order given to the project till the matter is resolved.
8	Regarding construction of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Stadium at CS No. 4/47 at Lala Lajpatrai Marg, Worli	Authority noted that, PIL No. 71/2010 (Society for Promotion of Equality, Awareness and Rights V/s. National Sports Club of India) has been filed in this case. Deputy Director (Town Planning), Greater Mumbai has filed affidavit in the court. MCZMA has issued a letter dated 08.02.2011 to National Sports Club of India, for submission of all documents pertaining to clearance under CRZ Notification, 1991 with a copy marked to Chief Engineer (DP), MCGM.
9	Complaint regarding Earth Builder matter by Dani Sadan	Authority noted that, on scrutiny of this complaint, MCZMA communicated to MoEF vide letter dated 17.02.2011 for keeping Authority's recommendation letter dated 22.11.2010 in abeyance. MCZMA has also requested Municipal Commissioner (MCGM) and Deputy Secretary (Urban Development Department) to submit their remarks in the matter with respect to the complaint (vide letter dated 17.02.2011). Till the time matter is resolved, Authority decided to issue stop work order to the project.
10	Regarding construction of M/s. Kapstone Construction Pvt. Ltd. at Village Majiwade, Thane	With respect to this issue, Authority had discussion regarding CZMP of Thane prepared by CESS, Kerala which has yet to be approved by the MoEF. Hence, Authority noted that CZMP of Thane was sent to MoEF by Urban Development Department as per the MoEF's letter dated 06.05.1999. However, Authority decided that CZMP of all Municipal Corporations/ Councils prepared by CESS will be placed in MCZMA's next meeting and sent to MoEF for information.

Table Item No. 1: Regarding CRZ clearance of Worli - Haji Ali - Nariman Point Coastal Road by MSRDC

The matter was placed before the Authority. Managing Director of MSRDC - Shri Bipin Shrimali presented the project before the Authority. Authority noted the following:

Valerh

- 1. With respect to the CRZ Notification, 2011, MSRDC has proposed to construct the connector from Worli Pratiksha Point to Nariman Point on coastal road. In the letter, it is mentioned that, there are no mangroves or no sensitive ecosystem in these sections. The total length from Worli Pratiksha Point to Nariman Point is 14 km and it is proposed to seek CRZ clearance for construction of coastal road based on reclamation from Worli Pratiksha Point up to Nariman Point. It is mentioned that, for the purpose of execution the work may be carried out in a phased manner.
- 2. The link is proposed along the West coast of Mumbai from Haji Ali to Nariman Point (9.3 km). It is in the sea from Haji Ali to Priyadarshini Park (3.1 km) and then deep tunnel from Priyadarshini Park to H<sub>2</sub>O (Girgaum Chowpatty) (1.4 km), approaches to the tunnel 1.1 km and cut & cover tunnel or road on stilt from H<sub>2</sub>O to Nariman Point (4.0 km) including the 300 loop that connect to the existing road. It is connected to the existing road network with a connector and traffic dispersal arrangement at Haji Ali, B.D. Marg and Priyadarshini Park.
- About 19.3 Ha reclamation is proposed in the sea for WFSL. About 3.3 Ha at Priyadarshini Park, 5.3 Ha at Nariman Point and 9 Ha along Marine Drive for cut & cover tunnel. About 1.7 Ha temporary reclamation is required at H<sub>2</sub>O.
- Construction of temporary jetty is involved for transporting workers, material and machinery for Sea Link.
- The proposed coastal road will be of 4 + 4 lanes. The project cost is estimated as Rs.
   500 crores and the construction period will be approximately 24 months.
   After presentation it is observed that:
- From Pratiksha Building at Worli to Samudra Mahal Building, about 2.7 km road will be built on reclaiming the sea.
- From Samudra Mahal Building to Mahalaxmi Temple point, coastal road of 1.8 km on viaduct.
- From Mahalaxmi Temple point to Priyadarshini Park: (a) 1.2 km coastal road will be constructed by reclamation of the sea and (b) 1.3 km viaduct on still
  - 4. From Priyadarshini Park to Tambe Chowk at Girgaum, tunnel of 1.9 km and depth of 30 m below will be constructed by drilling and blasting in CRZ area. Tunnel will start upward to open at H<sub>2</sub>O Hotel on Girgaum Chowpatty. At Girgaum Chowpatty area admeasuring 4000 sq. m. will be occupied/ reclaimed on CRZ-I area.
- From H<sub>2</sub>O, Girgaum Chowpatty to Madam Cama Road, junction road of 2.7 km length will be constructed by reclaiming the sea.
- Entire reclamation for the road will be around 7 to 8 km with an area of around 35 to 36 ha of sea.
- 7. Height of the deck of the viaduct of 4 + 4 lane will be 3.5 m.
- 8. Width of the road will be around 40 m.

Palethr

Authority, after deliberation, noted that:

- Detailed socio-economic study on livelihood of fishermen should be done and proposed that at least two fishing jettles will have to be properly built to provide proper navigation and reduce the impact on fishing zones.
  - Detailed geo-technical/ geo-tectonic studies will be required for tunnel portion.
- Impacts on corals & marine ecosystem near Madam Cama Junction should be studied.
  - Impact on hydrology/ geo-morphology and probability of flooding of other open beach areas due to reclamation should be studied.
  - Its impact on aesthetics of existing religious structures such as Darga, temple and other heritage sites should be studied
  - Public consultation of the stakeholders.

Authority noted that, proposal is only for approval of concept of the proposed activity. If concept is approved by MoEF, MSRDC should submit detailed proposal to MCZMA with approved ToR from MoEF.

Authority also noted that, as per the CRZ Notification, 2011 road on stilt is only permissible. Road on reclamation is not permissible except at landing points or for constructing the stilts. Therefore, Authority decided to request MoEF to allow roads on reclamation as a special case for Mumbai though it is not permissible as per CRZ Notification, 2011 to ease the traffic related problems and to reduce the vehicular pollution in the city which is above normal level at present.

However, Authority decided to recommend the concept note for MoEF's consideration and decided that if MoEF accords its approval to the concept, detailed proposal will be appraised by MCZMA.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to all members.

Chairperson,

MCZMA

#### Annexure-I

## List of the members present for the 68th meeting of MCZMA is as follows:

- Smt. Valsa R. Nair-Singh, Chairperson (MCZMA) and Secretary, Environment Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai
- Shri. T. C. Benjamin, Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Mantralaya,
   Mumbai
- 3. Shri. A. M. Khan, Principal Secretary, Industries Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai
- 4. Shri. Subodh Kumar, Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai
- 5. Dr. S. B. Chaphekar, Botanist, Mumbai
- Dr. (Smt.) Geetanjali Deshmukhe, Director-In-Charge, Central Institute of Fisheries
   Education, Mumbai
- 7. Dr. B. N. Patil, Member Secretary (MCZMA), Environment Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai