Minutes of the 119th meeting of the Maharashtra Coastal Zone Management Authority (MCZMA) held under the Chairmanship of Additional Chief Secretary, Environment on 28th to 30th June, 2017 in Conference Hall, 4th Floor, Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Kalpataru Point, Opp. Cinemax, Sion (E), Mumbai. List of the member present in the meeting is enclosed as Annexure-I.

Confirmation of 118th minutes:

The minutes of the 118^{th} meeting of the MCZMA held on 21.4.2017 were confirmed with review in minutes of the Item no. 14. The revised minutes of the item no. 14 is as follows:

Review of Item No 14:

CRZ status for SR Scheme of Andheri Kamgar Nagar CHS Ltd. on plot bearing CTS No. 1319 (pt) of Versova Village in K/W ward, Andheri, Mumbai by M/s K Hemani Futureddy.

The PP is seeking for the CRZ status for SR Scheme of Andheri Kamgar Nagar CHS Ltd. on plot bearing CTS No. 1319 (pt) of Versova Village in K/W ward, Andheri, Mumbai. The Authority noted that the PP has submitted the CRZ map in the scale of $1:4000\ \&$ report dated April, $2013\ as$ per which:

 Project site details of the land at C. S. 1319 (pt) of Versova village in K/W ward, Mumbai has been superimposed onto CZMP and also the HTL as per CRZ Notification, 2011. It is observed that the project site is not affected by CRZ I and CRZ II.

The Authority noted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale dated 4.5.2016 of the IRS, Chennai, which shows that the site under reference is situated within 500 m CRZ setback line, as per approved CZMP. As per the approved CZMP, the plot is fronting to Arabian Sea.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that plot bearing C. S. 1319 (pt) of Versova village in K/W ward, Mumbai falls in CRZ II area, as per approved CZMP of Mumbai i.e. within 500 m from the HTL of the Arabian Sea.

Member Secretary

Page 1 of 91

<u>Item No.1:</u> Discussion on draft CZMP of Mumbai City, Mumbai Suburban, Raigad and Ratnagiri Districts.

The Authority noted that draft CZMPs of the Mumbai City, Mumbai Suburban, Raigad and Ratnagiri Districts have been published on 29th March, 2017 in public domain for inviting suggestions and objections.

The Authority noted the para IV) a) of Annexure I of the CRZ Notification, 2011:

"The draft CZMPs prepared shall be given wide publicity and suggestions and objections received in accordance with the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. Public hearing on the draft CZMPs shall be held at district level by the concerned CZMAs"

Accordingly, instructions have been issued to offices of district collectors of Mumbai City, Mumbai Suburban, Raigad and Ratnagiri for conducting the public hearing at district level on draft CZMPs as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.

Resident District Collectors of Mumbai and Raigad districts and Tehsildar, Ratnagiri attended the meeting. The Authority informed them about the public hearing on the above said draft CZMPs to be conducted at the district level.

It was further discussed that the MOEF, New Delhi might issue a guideline/ circular about the public hearing on draft CZMPs, which would be sent to district Collector office.

The Authority after deliberation decided that the offices of District Collector and Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB) shall conduct public hearing on draft published draft CZMPs as per the Environment (Protection Act), 1986 for suggestions and objections.

Item No.2: Regarding MCZMA staff salary increment

The Authority discussed the salary structure of the nontechnical support staff such as Clerk- Cum Typist and Office Boy/Driver of the MCZMA. It was

Member Secretary

Page 2 of 91

noted that proposal has also been submitted to MoEF for the same. Authority after deliberation decided to follow up with the MoEFCC.

<u>Item No.3:</u> Regarding Construction of building namely, J K House at Sophia College Lane, Breach Candy, Mumbai

The Authority noted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, New Delhi vide letter dated 23.8.2016, 15.11.2016 has requested a report from the MCZMA regarding construction of building J K House at Sophia College Lane, Breach Candy, Mumbai.

In response to MoEF letters, the MS, MCZMA during the visit at New Delhi on 31.8.2016 has handed over the xerox copies of the proposal to the then Joint Secretary, MoEF.

The MoEF sent a reminder letter dated 21.2.2017 requesting a report whether there is violation of CRZ norms in the construction of the said building.

The Authority noted that the MCZMA vide letter dated 29.9.2016 was requested to MCGM to take necessary action in matter, if any violation of provisions of CRZ Notification 1991 as well as 2011. MCGM was requested to send the factual & detail action taken report to the Authority at the earliest.

The Authority noted and took on record the reply dated 23.11.2016 of the MCGM. Authority also noted the CRZ recommendation of MCZMA dated 13.6.2008 and CRZ Clearance issued by MoEF dated 23.9.2008 which stipulates construction as per DCR existing as on 19.2.1991 i.e. as per DCR of 1967. However, there are subsequent modifications in the plan, wherein construction is undertaken as per current DCR, on which corporation opines that it is in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.

After detail discussion and deliberation, the Authority decided to send the above stated factual report of the MCGM to the MoEF, New Delhi for further appropriate action in the matter as per rules.

Item No.4:

Regarding construction of Shopping Malls, office complex and hotel on plot bearing S. No. 341 (pt) of Bandra & S. No. 4 (pt) of Parighkhar, Bandra Kurla Complex, Mumbai

Member Secretary

Page 3 of 91

The Authority noted that a complaint dated 25.07.2016 is received before the MCZMA alleging illegal construction of Shopping Malls, office complex and hotel on plot bearing survey no. 341(pt) of Bandra and Survey no.4 (pt) of Parighkhar, Mumbai Suburban which falls in CRZ area.

The complainant has alleged FSI violation, change of use, alteration of DP reservation, substantial portion of plot falls in CRZ-III where no construction is permitted, construction on seaward side and in the mangrove buffer zone, Mangrove destruction, No MCZMA permission, No Environment Clearance etc.

The MCZMA vide letter dated 27.9.2016 requested Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, MCGM, Collector & Chairman (DCZMC) Mumbai Suburban and Mangroves Cell & MMRDA for appropriate action.

The Authority noted the replies dated 1.12.2016, 24.1.2017 and 18.12.2016 & 15.12.2016 received from the offices of MCGM, district collector and MMRDA respectively.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to seek following details from the Project proponent:

- 1. When was the permission granted for on-going construction on site under reference and date of commencement of the work?
- 2. Whether the construction on the site is as per the CRZ NoC granted by the UDD, GoM.
- 3. Submit chronology of subsequent changes made in the approved plan in detail.
- 4. Submit copies of the CRZ Clearance and EC obtained, if any.
- 5. Whether the construction is on landward or seaward side of the road fronting the Creek.
- 6. Distance of the construction under consideration from the HTL of the creek and mangroves / Mangroves buffer zone.

The Authority further decided to direct MMRDA to stop the work till the time matter is decided on the basis of above said information to be submitted to Authority.

Member Secretary

Page 4 of 91

<u>Item No.5:</u>
Regarding construction of building namely, Kalpataru Riverside on plot bearing F.P. No. 284 (pt), 459, 458, 495, 497 & 498, Panvel, Dist. Raigad

The Authority noted that a complaint dated 14th March, 2017 has been received from Mr. Anil Thartare pertaining to Construction done without CRZ clearance by M/s Kalpataru Shrayans at Final Plot No. 284 (pt), 459, 458, 495, 497 and 498 Panvel- 'Kalpataru' Riverside. The complaint has attached google image which shows construction abutting to the creek. Main contentions of the complaint are as follows:

- The project by M/s Kalpataru Shrayans at final Plot No. 284 (pt), 459,458,495,497 and 498 Panvel is situated at the back of the Creek and falls in CRZ area. However, no CRZ permission has been obtained for the project
- 2. Construction is done on seaward side i.e. on the creek side where no construction is permissible
- 3. Part of the project is reserved as Garden which is CRZ III area.

The MCZMA vide letter dated June, 2017 had sought the detailed report from Panvel Municipal Council and District Collector, Raigad regarding various permissions obtained for the project including CRZ approval, if any, from competent Authority. However, reply is awaited.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to write to Commissioner, Panvel Municipal Corporation / Assistant Director Town Planning to provide development plan remarks from CRZ point of view supported with appropriate documents for the land under consideration in order to ascertain its CRZ status.

Item No.6:

Regarding construction of buildings on plot bearing S. No. 65 (New) & S. No. 232 (old) of mauje Penkarpada, Mira Road (E), Dist. Thane by M/s. Srushti Developers & M/s. Eversmile Properties

The Authority noted that the Urban Development Department, GoM vide noting dated 20.3.2017 has informed that, Shri. Ashok Urf Bhai Jagtap, MLC has presented Calling Attention No. 1266 pertaining to construction of 16 buildings comprises of 14th floors in CRZ area of S. No. 65 (New) & S. No. 232 (old) of mauje Penkarpada, Mira Road (E), Dist Thane by M/e. Srushti

Member Secretary

Page 5 of 91

developers & M/s. Eversmile Properties. The UDD has sought remarks in the matter from the Environment Department.

The Authority noted the remarks dated 17.3.2017 of the Bhayarnder Municipal Corporation which is as follows:

- The MBMC has granted building permission for the entire project vide letter dated 2.7.1986 on plot bearing S. No. 65 (New) & S. No. 232 (old) along with S. No. 207, 2017 to 220, 228(pt) to 230(pt), 175, 187, 236, 238, 239, 244, 255 to 258, 231 to 235, 240/2 of mauje Penkarpada.
- MBMC has granted revised building permissions on 28.8.1989, 19.4.1995, 3.12.1996, 26.9.2001, 5.2.2004, 18.7.2006, 26.3.2007, 3.2.2009 & 22.12.2009.
- As per approved CZMP of 1996, the plots under reference does not fall in CRZ area.
- CRZ map prepared by CESS, Kerala received on 30.6.2005 sent by the UDD, the plot bearing S. No. 231, 232, 234 falls in CRZ-II area.
- MoEF, New Delhi has granted Environment Clearance vide letter dated 12.2.2007.

The Authority further noted the letter dated 13.2.2007 of the MoEF, New Delhi which clarified that any development activity which had been initiated between 18th August, 1994 and 18th April, 1996 after obtaining all requisite clearances from concerned agencies including from the town and country planning could be constructed as on-going project.

The Authority, as presented by project developer, also noted that the project has received sanction in principally in the year 1989. Till the year 2005 wherein the CZMP of MBMC prepared by CESS, Kerala came, 144 buildings were completed and Occupation certificate was received. Further, building plans of 20 buildings were amended to 16 buildings in the year 2007 (post year 2005) to avoid construction in 50 m mangroves buffer zone. In such scenario, whether construction of 16 buildings can be construed as part of "ongoing project" as defined in the letter dated 13.2.2007 of the MoEF, New Delhi.

Authority deliberated upon the issue. It was opined that MoEF has given EC to the project of these 16 buildings on 12.2.2007. Further, as per the letter of MoEF dated 13.02.2007, as referred by UDD through file, it was not clear whether project fits into the category of on-going project or not. This opinion

Member Secretary

Page 6 of 91

hdirman

was not given by UDD on file. In case, it is not part of on-going project then it appears to be a CRZ violation and appropriate legal action may be initiated against developer. However, before initiating the action, Authority decided to seek the opinion of the UDD, GoM that whether this part of the project was ongoing project activity as per the MoEF letter dated 13.02.2007 or not. Project developer may also be given opportunity to submit his legal say in the matter again. Therefore, after deliberation, authority decided to defer the matter for want of above information from the UDD, GoM and legal say of project proponent.

<u>Item No.7:</u> Regarding construction of building on plot bearing CTS No. 1A/1/B/4, 1A/1/B/5, 1A/1/B/7 of village Goregaon, at Goregaon (W), Mumbai by M/s Windsor Realty Ltd.

The Authority noted the complaint dated 5.6.2017 received before MCZMA regarding construction on plot bearing CTS No. 1A/1/B/4, 1A/1/B/5, 1A/1/B/7 of village Goregaon, at Goregaon (W), Mumbai by M/s Windsor Realty Ltd.

Complainant has alleged that the plot under reference falls in CRZ-I area as per CZMP, DP Sheet of MCGM, Google Earth images. Further it is alleged that recommendations from MCZMA, Clearance from MoEF are required for the project.

The Authority noted that the MCZMA in its 107^{th} meeting dated 1.8.2015 has recommended the project to SEIAA from CRZ point of view subject to condition that the MCGM should strictly ensure that the construction on the site under reference is in Non CRZ area. No construction should be allowed in CRZ portion of the land under reference.

During the meeting, the PP submitted his say in the matter. It was informed that plot shown by the complainant is different and does not belong to the M/s Windsor Realty Ltd. After the deliberation, the Authority took note of the decision taken by the MCZMA in its 107^{th} meeting as per which, the MCGM should strictly ensure that the construction on the site under reference is in Non CRZ area. No construction should be allowed in CRZ portion of the land under reference.

Member Secretary

Page 7 of 91

Item No.8:

Proposed construction of missing link of Coastal Highway (M.S.H - 4) in Raigad District by M/s Public Works Department

The Authority noted that the proposal construction of missing link of Coastal Highway (M.S.H - 4) in Raigad District was earlier discussed in 91st meeting of MCZMA held on 29th to 31st May, 2014, wherein the Authority decided to recommend the present proposal from CRZ point of view to MoEF subject to certain conditions. Accordingly, MCZMA vide letter dated 9.9.2014 recommended the proposal to MoEF, New Delhi. Now, the PP is requesting the consider the proposal at State Level in the light of amendment in CRZ Notification dated 28th November, 2014 issued by the MoEF, new Delhi.

The Authority noted that the MoEF has not yet referred the proposal back to MCZMA. However, now the proposal falls under the jurisdiction of the SEIAA as per the CRZ amended notification dated 28^{th} November, 2014.

The PP presented the proposal as per which, the proposal is the construction of missing link for the coastal highway on Dharamtar and Kaleshree rivers in Raigad District. The total length of the missing link as per the final alignment is 12.49 km which includes the two major bridges. One bridge across Kaleshree Creek is having length of 350m. Balance missing link is 10.64 km. Other bridge across Dharamtar Creek is having length of 1700 m. Dharamtar bridge has 32 piers and Kaleshri bridge has 8 piers. The land under reference falls in CRZ-I & III area.

The mangroves (Avicennia Spp.) in the area have height up to 1 - 1.5 m. Around 50 Sqm mangroves are present near the site of Dharamtar Bridge. Replantation is proposed at a rate of 5 times. Environmental Impact Assessment report and Environment Management Plan are submitted.

Considering the earlier decision of the Authority in its 91st meeting and taking into account the details of the proposal and amended CRZ Notification dated 28th November, 2014, the Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to strict compliance of following conditions:

1. The proposed coastal road should be carried out strictly as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.

Member Secretary

Page 8 of 91

- 2. Proponent should consult the local fishermen communities and should strictly ensure that activities of local fishermen communities are not hampered.
- Project proponent should implement Environment Management plan for the project effectively and efficiently during construction and operational phase of the project to ensure that coastal environment is protected.
- 4. Ecology of the surrounding area shall be maintained. Tidal Flow of creek water should not be obstructed by any means.
- 5. Excavated debris should be disposed in Non CRZ area.
- 6. Five times the number of mangroves destroyed/cut during the construction process should be replanted. The plan for the same should be submitted to MCZMA. Further, Mangroves should be restored after completion of the project.
- 7. Project proponent should obtain prior permission of Hon'ble High Court, since there is destruction of mangroves due to project.
- 8. All other required permissions from different statutory authorities should be obtained prior to commencement of work

<u>Item No.9:</u> Proposed Coastal Road from Aamra Marg to JNPT at Ulwe, Navi Mumbai by M/s CIDCO

The Authority noted that the proposal is for construction of coastal road starting from Panvel Creek with interchanges with Aamra Marg to C.F.S. Road of port area traversing along the coast of Ulwe, Navi Mumbai by providing access to the proposed off-shore Banking Centre of SEZ on foot hill of village Jasai.

The Coastal road is divided into 2 phases. The phase 1 starts from Amara Marg to MTHL (from Ch-0 to 5766 m) which includes Airport link of 575 m separately. The Phase-2 from MTHL to JNPT (from Ch 5766 to 10107 m). This has interchange at Shivaji Nagar with proposed trans-harbour link then terminating at CFC road of port area.

Total length of proposed coastal road is 10106 m with ROW 60 m in Ulwe Node, Navi Mumbai. The alignment of the road is passing through CRZ I area & CRZ III area. Total area of road in CRZ area is 25.70 ha.

Member Secretary

Page 9 of 91

The Authority further noted that the proposal was earlier considered in 115th meeting of MCZMA held on 17th & 18th January, 2017, wherein the Authority directed PP to revise the Rapid EIA report with details specific to the project. The report needs to provide details of the flora and fauna present on the site and impact of the coastal road on the present flora and fauna. Waste management plan need to be clearly reported.

The CIDCO vide letter dated 5.4.2017 has submitted reply to MCZMA regarding the flora and fauna present on the site with classification and qualification, impact of the coastal road on the present flora and fauna and Waste management plan.

The PP presented the Rapid EIA / EMP for the project. The Authority noted that Rapid EIA needs to be corrected with respect to phytoplankton and zooplankton studies. Further, the EMP needs to be more site specific. The PP to submit the said details.

The Authority, subject to submission of above compliance, decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to MoEF subject to strict compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The proposed construction should be carried out strictly as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.
- 2. Ecology of the surrounding area shall be maintained. Tidal Flow of creek water should not be obstructed by any means.
- 3. Proponent should consult the local fishermen communities and should strictly ensure that activities of local fishermen communities are not hampered.
- 4. Height of the pillars should be such that free movement of fishing boats is ensured.
- Project proponent should implement Environment Management plan for the project effectively and efficiently during construction and operational phase of the project to ensure that coastal environment is protected.
- 6. Excavated debris should be disposed in Non CRZ area.
- 7. Five times the number of mangroves destroyed/cut during the construction process should be replanted. The plan for the same should be submitted to MCZMA.

Member Secretary

Page 10 of 91

Chainman

- 8. Project proponent should obtain prior Hon'ble High Court permission, since there is destruction of mangroves due to project.
- 9. All other required permissions from different statutory authorities should be obtained prior to commencement of work

<u>Item No.10:</u>
Proposed construction of Saline Embankment for Koni Kalvane Scheme, Tal. Panvel, Dist. Raigad by Kharland Survey & Inv. Division, Pen - Raigad

Officials from Kharland Dept presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of Saline Embankment for Koni Kalvane Scheme, Tal. Panvel, Dist. Raigad by Kharland Survey & Inv. Division, Pen – Raigad. The scheme is to protect the agricultural land from the saline ingress. Current situation of the bund is poor condition. The PP has submitted the Rapid EIA / EMP for the project.

The proposed project is located on the bank of Karli creek which is sub creek of the Dharamtar creek. Project details of proposed Kharland Scheme are as follows:

Name of Kharland Scheme	Taluka	No. of village	Name of village	Length of bund (m)	Total Reclamed Area (Ha)	Additional area (ha) for which CRZ clearance is required / proposed
Koni - Kelavane	Panvel	1	Kelavane	1930	158	158

The Authority noted that saline embankment is proposed along the Karli creek front. From the google image and site photographs, it was observed that mangroves vegetation is present in the vicinity of the bund. The impact of embankment on the surrounding ecology is not reported in the EIA report. There is possibility that due to saline embankment, flow of the creek water would be altered, which also cause damage to mangrove vegetation. Further, the embankment is proposed in CRZ I area. Further as per the high Court Order in the matter of WP No. 3246/2004 and PIL 86/2006 no construction is allowed in mangroves or its 50m Mangroves Buffer Zone.

Member Secretary

Page 11 of 91

hainman

After deliberation, considering the aforesaid facts, Authority decided to reject the proposal for the reasons as stated above.

Item No.11:

Proposed construction of Saline Embankment for 13 Schemes in Dist. Raigad by Kharland Survey & Inv. Division, Pen - Raigad. 1) Kandalvada New, 2) Kandalvada Old, 3) Kudgaon Harvit, 4) Yashvanti, 5) Uchel Virjoli, 6) Gophan, 7) Belkhar Lebhi, 8) Sonkhotha Hashivare, 9) Narangi, 10) Bhonang, 11) Shahabaj, 12) Rayande Pezari, 13) Jui Habbas

Officials from Kharland Dept presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of Saline Embankment for 13 Schemes in Dist. Raigad. The PP has submitted the Rapid EIA/ EMP for the project. Details of the 13 schemes area as follows:

				Area (Ha)				
Sr. No.	Name of Schem e	Taluka	No. of vill age	Name of Villages	Length of Embankm ent(m)	Total Reclai med Area (Ha)	Reclai med area (CRZ clear ance obtai ned)	Additi onal area (Ha) (CRZ cleara nce propo sed)
1	Kandal vada New	Mhasal a	1	Kandalvada	8640	231.3 05	200	31.30 5
2	Kandal vada Old	Mhasal a	1	Kandalvada	1110	61.39 9	51	10.39
3	Kudga on Harvit	Shrivar dhan	2	Kudgaon,Harv it	1320	77.42	67	11.42

Member Secretary

Page 12 of 91

4	Yashv anti	Shrivar dhan	3	Shiste,Borli, Diveaagar	2010	438.7 6	217	221.7 6
5	Uchel Virjoli	Roha	2	Uchel,virjoli	2435	122	102	20
6	Gopha n	Roha	1	Gophan	930	45.35	24	21.35
7	Belkha r Lebhi	Alibag	2	Lebhi,Khidaki	3660	158	118	40
8	Sonko tha Hashiv are	Alibag	2	Hashivare, Shiravali	5630	746	265	481
9	Naran gi	Alibag	1	Narangi	3845	415	195	220
10	Bhona ng	Alibag	1	Bhonag	4040	199	162	37
11	Shaha baj	Alibag	2	Shahabaj	11000	531	498	33
12	Rayan de Pezari	Alibag	1	Rayande,Peza ri	1920	132	99	33
13	Jui Habba s	Pen	1	Jui, Kharpali, Anandnagar	4118	349	330	19
Total					50658	3506. 234	2328	1168. 835

The Kharland officials made presentation about each scheme. From the presentation, the Authority noted the proposal details of the each bund and gave following remarks:

Sr. No.	Name of Scheme	Taluka	Remarks
1	Kandalvada New	Mhasala	Mangroves vegetation is observed on both side of the

Member Secretary

Page 13 of 91

The state of the s			bund. It appears that the bund is stopping dividing the
			creekwater and it may damage the mangrove vegetation.
2	Kandalvada Old	Mhasala	The bund is adjacent to mangrove vegetation.
3	Kudgaon Harvit	Shrivardhan	The bund is adjacent to mangrove vegetation.
4	Yashvanti	Shrivardhan	It appears that the creek water is barely reaches the bund. Sparse mangrove vegetation is seen near the site.
5	Uchel Virjoli	Roha	Location of the bund is near creek. Impact of the bund on flow of creek needs to be reported.
6	Gophan	Roha	The bund is adjacent to mangrove vegetation.
7	Belkhar Lebhi	Alibag	Considering the coastal geomorphology of the area, the saline embankment may not require in this stretch. Mangrove vegetation is seen along the bank of the creek.
8	Sonkotha Hashivare	Alibag	The area has mudflats and mangroves. Bund may affect the mangroves vegetation.
9	Narangi	Alibag	Dense mangroves vegetation is observed along the back of the creek, which will be damaged due to bund.
10	Bhonang	Alibag	Mangrove vegetation is present on the back of the creek. Further, the creek water may not enter into agricultural land considering the slope of the land.

Member Secretary

Page 14 of 91

11	Shahabaj	Alibag	The bund is adjacent to dense mangrove vegetation.
12	Rayande Pezari	Alibag	Mangroves vegetation is observed on both side of the bund. It appears that the bund is stopping the dividing the creek water and it may damage the mangrove vegetation.
13	Jui Habbas	Pen	Mangrove vegetation is present on the back of the creek. Further, the creek water may not enter into agricultural land considering the slope of the land.

The Authority noted that saline embankment is proposed along the creek front. As stated above, all the sites have mangroves vegetation. Impact of the bund on mangrove vegetation and flow of the creek water is not reported in the Rapid EIA/ EMP for the project. There is likely possibility that flow of the creek water would be hampered and will lead to damage to mangroves. High Court in its order in the matter WP No. 3246/2004 and PIL No. 86/2006 prohibits construction in Mangroves & 50m Mangroves Buffer Zone.

After deliberation, considering the aforesaid facts, Authority decided to reject the proposal for the reasons as stated above.

Item No.12:

Proposed construction of Saline Embankment for 8 Schemes in Dist. Palghar by Kharland Development Circle (105th Compliance Case), 1) Dehane & Lingpada, 2) Vangaon, 3) Dedale & Kolvali, 4) Umroli & Panchali, 5) Alyali & Tembhude, 6) Virathanbudhruk & Mithagar, 7) Tembikhodave & Kandrebhure, 8) Darshet & Umberpada

Officials from Kharland Dept presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of Saline Embankment for 8 Schemes in Dist. Palghar. Details of the scheme is as follows:

Member Secretary

Page 15 of 91

						Area (Ha)		
Sr. No.	Name of Scheme	Talu ka	No. of villa ge	Name of Villages	Length of Saline embankme nt(m)	Total Reclai med Area (Ha)	Reclai med area (CRZ cleara nce obtain ed)	Additi onal area (Ha)fo r which (CRZ cleara nce is requir ed propos ed)
1	Dehane	Dah anu	2	Dehane and Lingpada	1500	48	20	28
2	Vangao n	Dah anu	1	Vangaon	500	69	10	59
3	Dehale Kolvali	Dah anu	2	Dedale and Kolvali	540	159	32	127
4	Umroli ·	Palg har	2	Umroli and Pachali	1910	127	71	56
5	Alyali	Palg har	2	Alyali and tembhude	625	109	71	38
6	Chikhal pada	Palg har	2	Virathanbu dhruk and Mithagar	2435	129	20	109
7	Tembhi Khodav e	Palg har	2	Tembhikho dave and Kandrebhu re	4780	301	159	142
8	Darshe t Umberp	Palg har	2	Darshet and Umberpada	935	71	29	42

Member Secretary

Page 16 of 91

ada				

The Kharland officials made presentation about each scheme. From the presentation, the Authority noted the proposal details of the each bund and gave following remarks:

Sr. No.	Name of Scheme	Taluka	Remarks
1	Dehane	Dahanu	The bund is proposed along the bank of the creek. There is mangrove vegetation along the creek. Bund may damage the mangrove vegetation.
2	Vangaon	Dahanu	Mangrove vegetation is present, which would be affected.
3	Dehale Kolvali	Dahanu	Bund may affect the flow of the creek and mangroves vegetation as well.
4	Umroli	Palghar	The stretch has dense mangrove vegetation
5	Alyali	Palghar	The bund would train the flow of the creek. Sparse mangroves are observed in the stretch.
6	Chikhalpada	Palghar	Bund is adjacent to mangroves vegetation
7	Tembhi Khodave	Palghar	Total 4.7 km bund is proposed which is quite long. The said bund is on the bank of the creek. Sparse mangroves area observed.

Member Secretary

Page 17 of 91

Chairman Chairman

8 Darshet Palghar	Sparse mangroves are present in the stretch.
-------------------	--

The Authority noted that saline embankment is proposed along the creek front. As stated above, all the sites have mangroves vegetation. Impact of the bund on mangrove vegetation and flow of the creek water is not reported in the Rapid EIA/ EMP for the project. There is likely possibility that flow of the creek water would be hampered and will lead to damage to mangroves. High Court in its order in the matter WP No. 3246/2004 and PIL No. 86/2006 prohibits construction in Mangroves & 50m Mangroves Buffer Zone.

After deliberation, considering the aforesaid facts, Authority decided to reject the proposal for the reasons as stated above.

<u>Item No.13:</u> Proposed construction of Anti-Sea Erosion bund from Sagar Kutir to Hindu Smashanbhumi at Versova in Mumbai Suburban by PWD, Mumbai.

Officials of the PWD presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the proposal is for construction of Anti-Sea Erosion bund from Sagar Kutir to Hindu Smashanbhumi at Versova in Mumbai Suburban. The Sea side portion of the premises in this region is threatened by the wave action. To safeguard premises along the coastline from further damages caused by the tidal action, it is necessary to protect the shore by constructing anti-sea erosion bund. The Authority noted that approx. length of the bund is 1200m and the site is situated in CRZ I (B) & CRZ II area.

The proposal was earlier considered in 115th and 116th meeting of MCZMA held on 17th & 18th January, 2016 and 22nd March, 2017 respectively, wherein the PP was requested to submit the detail design of the Anti-Sea Erosion bund considering the mix of soft solutions and hard structures and also submit details of time series data considered by CWPRS for drawing the conclusion.

The PWD submitted the comments of the CWPRS and revised section for the protection work. Remarks of the CWPRS was once again noted by the Authority which is as follows:

Member Secretary

Page 18 of 91

In view of the higher waves, high tidal range and limited sediment movement, a soft solution in the form of sand nourishment of provision of sand dune or use of geo textile solutions or soft solutions or plantations / vegetation will not be suitable for these types of densely populated areas. Furthermore these soft solutions are recurring types and may last only for short period. In view of above it is once again recommended to provide a long term solution provided by CWPRS earlier. This recommendation by CWPRS was accepted by MCZMA Committee during the meeting on 22/03/2017.

The PWD officials further informed that there is danger to existing buildings present near the beach area. At present, buildings have their own compound wall for protection. In high tide and monsoon, sea water reaches to the wall. Hence, the bund is a requirement in the said stretch of the beach in order to protect the existing residential buildings along the beach.

Considering the possible coastal hazards in the area, the Authority felt that only retaining wall for protection is required. However, walkway on the retaining wall cannot be allowed in CRZ I area, which is prohibited activity.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal of reconstruction of existing anti-sea erosion wall to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed reconstruction of anti-sea erosion wall should be in accordance with provisions of the CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time)
- 2. Walkway is not permitted on the anti-sea erosion bund as it is not permissible activity in CRZ I intertidal area.
- 3. Recommendation of the CWPRS should be followed for the reconstruction / redevelopment of the wall.
- 4. Location / design of the bund should be in such way that there would be minimum reclamation on the beach (i.e. CRZ I area).
- 5. Construction debris should not be dumped in the beach area or in Mangroves area.
- 6. Suggestions/ objections of the residents of the proposed stretch may be considered, before starting the reconstruction / redevelopment work of wall.

Member Secretary

Page 19 of 91

<u>Item No.14:</u>
Proposed construction of five anti-sea erosion bund at Satpati, Ashapura Mandir Edvan, Navapur, Tarap Mangel Ali, Ghivali, Tal. & Dist. Palghar by Harbour Engineering Division, Navi Mumbai.

Officials from the Harbour division presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that, the proposal for construction of five anti-sea erosion bund at Satpati, Ashapura Mandir Edvan, Navapur, Tarap Mangel Ali, Ghivali, Tal. & Dist. Palghar. The site under reference falls in CRZ I area. PP has submitted EIA report for the project. Length of proposed bund is as follows:

Village name	Length
Satpati	425
Ashapura Mandir Edvan	125
Navapur	150
Tarap Mangel Ali	138
Ghivali	Not presented due
	to Mangroves

The proposal was earlier considered in 116^{th} meeting of MCZMA held on 22.3.2017, wherein the Authority felt that constructing hard structures at these sites will cause damage from natural calamities, considering the natural coastal geo-morphology of the sites. The Authority in its 116^{th} meeting held on 22.3.2017 has rejected the proposal.

During the meeting, the Project proponent showed the drone videos of the sites and presented that there is danger of sea water entering into villages situated along the coastline and anti- sea erosion bund is necessary to avoid coastal disaster in the areas.

The Authority discussed the location of the sites and considering the coastal geomorphology, it was felt that anti-sea erosion bund can be permitted at village Satpati, Ashapura Mandir Edvan, Tarap Mangel Ali and Ghivali. However, at village Navapur, there is scope of exploring the soft solutions such vegetation, stabilization of sand dunes etc. However, construction should be along the or in the line of existing bund or along the Highest Tide Line. Wall / Bund should not be constructed along the low water line / or water line. It

Member Secretary

Page 20 of 91

should be beyond existing HTL to reduce the impact of force of tide on the wall.

After deliberation the Authority decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to following conditions:

- 1. Proposed construction should be along the or in the line of existing bund or along the Highest Tide Line. Wall / Bund should not be constructed along the low water line / or water line. It should be beyond existing HTL to reduce the impact of force of tide on the wall.
- 2. Proposed construction should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time)
- 3. This CRZ recommendation is for anti-sea erosion bund at village Satpati, Ashapura Mandir Edvan and Tarap mangrl Ali along the existing bund or beyond HTL & not low water line.
- 4. Local body to ensure that the Anti-sea erosion bund is construction on the landward side of the HTL of the seafront.
- 5. Location/design of the bund should be in such way that there would be minimum reclamation on the beach.
- 6. Construction debris should not be dumped in the beach area.
- 7. All other required permissions from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.15:</u> Proposed construction of ferry jetty at Borivali - Gorai, Mumbai by MMB.

Officials from the MMB presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that, the proposal is for proposed construction of ferry jetty at Borivali- Gorai, Mumbai. There is existing boat service between Gorai & Borivali. The Authority noted that, the Borivali end jetty is located at east coast of Gorai Creek. The water depth at the proposed jetty location is approximately 1.55 m. The size of the Jetty is $120m \times 10$ m. Jetty is supported on bored cast-in-situ RC piles. Approach Road length 120 m. The Jetty is proposed with overall dimensions of (120×10) m. Concrete Crash barriers are proposed on either side along the length of the Jetty for the safety of the passengers and vehicles. CRZ I (A) area is 1562.70 Sqm and CRZ I (B) area is 788.39 Sqm. Mangrove Cutting is not involved. The MMB has submitted the Rapid EIA/ EMP for the project.

Member Secretary

Page 21 of 91

The Authority noted that, the Gorai end Jetty is located at west coast of Gorai Creek. The water depth at the proposed jetty location is approximately $1.55\,\mathrm{m}$. The size of the Jetty is $120\,\mathrm{m}\times10\,\mathrm{m}$. Jetty is supported on bored castin-situ RC piles. The diameters of the piles are $1000\,\mathrm{mm}$. The jetty consists of concrete decks on a piled structure. CRZ I (A) area is $747.60\,\mathrm{Sym}$ and CRZ I (B) area is $529.20\,\mathrm{Sym}$. Mangrove will be destroyed.

The Authority noted the complaint received from Bombay Environment Action Group pertains to Gorai Jetty.

The proposal was earlier considered in 117th meeting of MCZMA held on 5-6.4.2017, wherein the Authority observed that proposed jetties would result in destruction of mangroves. The Authority after detailed deliberation decided to direct the MMB to submit the revised proposal considering the realignment of jetties in order to avoid the destruction of mangroves.

Compliance:

The MMB has submitted compliance dated 4.5.2017 to MCZMA along with EIA Report.

Sr. No.	Points of Compliance by MCZMA	Reply of MMB on Compliance
1	MMB to ensure that no mangroves are cut for the proposed activities. Prior High Court permission, if the project activities fall within 50 m mangroves buffer area, should be obtained. Distance of the proposed activity from CRZ-IA should be submitted.	and Gorai. Project activity falls within <u>CRZ-IA</u>
2	_	Project Specific Environment Management Plan is incorporated in EIA Report Chapter No. 5
3	PP to submit that local fishing of	Both Borivali and Gorai Jetty will be

Member Secretary

Page 22 of 91

	traditional fisherman should not be	constructed alongside existing				
	hampered due to proposed	jetties and safe distance will be				
	construction of jetty	maintained between the two jetties				
		i.e. existing and proposed jetty				
		during construction.				
		During construction phase				
		temporary steel jetty will be				
		constructed at Gorai for				
		uninterrupted operation of existing				
		jetty. Hence fishing of local				
		fisherman will not be hampered and				
		no adverse impact is envisaged due				
		to construction of jetty and safe				
		distance between proposed jetty				
		and existing jetty.				
4		MMB has applied for CRZ Clearance				
	Details of parking / waiting facility	for jetty only and separate CRZ				
	approach to jetty	application will be made for parking				
		/ waiting facility in future.				
5	MMB to submit details of approach	Construction activity will commence				
	road, construction status as on	only after achieving all statutory				
	today with dated photographs and	and legal clearances required for				
	latest google images.	commencing construction activity.				

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed construction of Jetty should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time)
- 2. MMB to ensure no mangroves are cut for the proposed activities. Prior High Court permission, if the project activities falls within 50 m mangroves buffer area should be obtained.
- 3. MMB to implement the Environment Management Plan during construction and operation phase of the project.
- 4. Livelihood of and Local fishing of traditional fisherman should not be hampered due to proposed work of jetty.
- 5. All other required permissions from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Member Secretary

Page 23 of 91

<u>Item No.16:</u>
Proposed Sea retaining wall and toe protection for balance portion at Sea Shore of INS-Hamla, Malad - Marve in Mumbai Suburban by Harbour Engineering Division.

Officials from Harbour Engineering Division were present for the meeting and presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that, the proposal is for construction of retaining wall and toe protection for balance portion at Sea shore of INS- Hamla Malad- Marve in Mumbai. Proposed retaining wall is having 445 m length and Toe protection using stones for 460 m length. Proposed wall will be constructed for protection to existing sea shore will prevent erosion of coast along sea face of INS Hamla. The site is located in CRZ I area, as per approved CZMP of Mumbai

The proposal was earlier considered in 117th meeting of MCZMA held on 5-6.4.2017, wherein the Authority observed the followings:

- 1. PP to submit the Rapid EIA/ EMP for the project.
- 2. CWPRS report about the impact of the proposed retaining wall on beach considering the data of last 50 years return period.
- 3. Earlier approvals for the existing retaining wall

The PP presented that the earlier retaining wall at I.N.S. Hamala was constructed in two phases. Existing retaining wall at I.N.S. Hamala Coast was constructed on existing alignment in year 2006 in phase - I for 432 metre length and in phase-II for 500 metre length in the year 2010 only. The earlier walls were constructed as per the demand and approvals given by Flag Officer Commanding-in-Chief. Now the proposed retaining wall to be constructed in phase-III for the balance length of 444 metre was also sanctioned

The Authority noted that the PP to submit the reasons for not obtaining CRZ recommendation for existing retaining walls constructed in the year 2006/2010 or PP to submit copies of the permission obtained, if any, from competent authority.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to defer the matter for submission of compliance as stated above.

Member Secretary

Page 24 of 91

<u>Item No. 17:</u> Proposed training / widening / Deeping and reconstruction of Laxmi Baug Nalla at Ramabai Ambedkar Nagar, Ghatkopar (E), Mumbai by MCGM.

The PP presented the proposal before the Authority, which is as follows:

- The proposal is for training / widening / deepening and reconstruction of Laxmi Baug Nalla at Ramabai Ambedkar Nagar, Ghatkopar (E), Mumbai.
- The hutments on the edge of nalla are proposed to be demolished for construction of Nalla protection wall and service road for maintenance/cleaning of the nalla by excavator.
- The length, height and width of the proposed protection wall will be 750m, 1m and 0.5m respectively and is within 50m from Mangroves which are present on the other side of the Nalla. The protection wall be constructed on concrete piles having average depth of 9.5m and 1m diameter. The total length of the proposed adjoining service road will be 750m and width 6m.
- The project site falls in CRZ I & II area.

The proposal was earlier considered in 116th meeting of MCZMA held on 22.3.2017, wherein the Authority noted that proposed protection wall may damage the mangrove vegetation present along the Nalla. The PP may explore the options/ methods of the Nalla training, so that mangrove vegetation can be protected. After deliberation, the Authority decided to defer the proposal. Accordingly, the MCGM vide letter dated 11.5.2017 has submitted compliance to MCZMA.

During the meeting, the PP presented that training/deepening and reconstruction of the Nalla is proposed at slum side and not on mangrove side. Mangroves will not be affected due to the said activity.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activity should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.
- 2. Local body to ensure that training /widening, deepening and reconstruction of Nalla is allowed on slum side and not on mangroves side.
- 3. No mangroves should be destroyed/ cut for the project activities.
- 4. Construction debris should not be dumped into the creek water.

Member Secretary

Page 25 of 91

- 5. Prior High Court permission shall be obtained, since proposed activity is situated within 50 m mangrove buffer zone.
- 6. All other required permissions from different statutory authorities should be obtained prior to commencement of work.

<u>Item No.18:</u>
Proposed Beautification & Development of Gardens & surrounding Area of Bandra fort & promenade in H/West ward, Mumbai by MCGM

The MCGM officials presented the proposal for Beautification & Development of Gardens & surrounding Area of Bandra fort & promenade in H/West ward, Mumbai. The proposal is for Beautification & Development of Gardens & surrounding Area of Bandra fort & promenade in H/West ward, Mumbai. The proposed project falls in CRZ I area. Area Details:

- Cycle track and pathway 4415 sqm
- Steps 1750sqm
- Promenade 1400sqm
- Area to be landscaped 9450sqm

The Authority noted that the MCGM has proposed the beautification on landward side as well as seaward side of the Bandra Fort. MCGM has proposed promenade on seaward side, which cannot be allowed. The MCGM may explore other options of beautification measures, instead of putting concrete structures along seaward side.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to defer the proposal for the compliance of following conditions:

- Proposed project should be as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time) and revised the proposal accordingly. No construction work should be proposed on seaward side of the HTL.
- 2. MCGM to ensure that promenade will not be allowed in seaward side of the Bandra Fort.
- 3. Debris generated due to project activity should not be dumped into the CRZ area & submit detail plan.
- 4. Mangroves, if any should not be destroyed/ cut.

<u>Item No.19:</u> Proposed Pipe line (3.5k,) carrying secondary treated sewage from Ghatkopar Pumping station to main plant, open car park

Member Secretary

Page 26 of 91

for 50 cars, Effluent pond (20X22.5m) Leachate Treatment plant (20 \times 11.25 M), Areas for future expansion (1.0ha) and security booth 2 nos. (6.3 \times 6.3)

The MCGM officials presented the proposal before the Authority. The MCGM is developing the Waste to Energy project at Deonar. Proposed ancillary activities are as follows:

- a. Proposed Pipeline of length 3.5 km carrying secondary treated sewage from Ghatkopar pumping Station to main plant,
- b. Open car park for 50 cars,
- c. Effluent pond (20x 11.25 m),
- d. Effluent Treatment plant (20x 22.5 m),
- e. Leachate Treatment plant (20x 11.25 m),
- f. Areas for future expansion (1.10 Ha) and
- g. Security booth 2 nos. (6.3x 6.3)

The pipeline passes through CRZ I(A) area- in mangroves at Ghatkopar pumping station and CRZ II. (Mangroves of area 450 Sqm will be affected for proposed laying of water pipeline. Proposed open car parking is in CRZ II area whereas rest of the plant operation including sanitary landfill, main processing area are locate in Non CRZ area. Propose effluent pond, ETP and Leachate Treatment plant, Areas for future expansion and security booth falls under CRZ II area.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to MoEF, New Delhi subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed project should be as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time)
- 2. PP to ensure that pipeline in mangrove area is proposed on stilt, in order to avoid damage of the mangroves. Mangroves should be restored after completion of project.
- 3. As per the permission of CRZ Notification of 2011, five times the number of mangroves destroyed/cut during the construction process should be replanted. The plan for the same should be submitted to MCZMA.
- 4. Project proponent should obtain prior Hon'ble High Court permission, since the pipeline is passing through mangrove area.
- 5. Effluents from the ETP should not be discharged into the creek water.

Member Secretary

Page 27 of 91

Chainman

- 6. PP to restore the sites after completion of the project activities.
- 7. All other required permissions from different statutory authorities should be obtained prior to commencement of work

Item No.20: Proposed amendment of CRZ clearance of construction of fifth Oil Berth at Jawahar Dweep in Mumbai Harbour by MbPT

The MbPT officials presented the proposal before the Authority. As per the representation of the MbPT:

- The MCZMA in its 109th meeting held on 19.12.2015 has recommended the proposal of fifth oil berth at Dweep in Mumbai Harbour, subject to certain conditions. One of the condition is as follows: "MbPT to ensure that no reclamation at Jawahar Dweep for tank farms is carried out"
- Based on the above, MbPT had referred the matter to the consultants for erection of tankages on piled structure with Deck. The consultants have suggested that it is technically feasible, but would involve huge expenditure when compared to reclamation and also from environment point of view, the reclamation would be more suitable as the spillage can be contained within the reclaimed area.
- Incidentally, MbPT and JNPT will be deepening the existing approach channel which would involve soil and rock dredging. The rock quantity is to the extent of about 1.4 million cum and the same is proposed to be dumped in the deep sea, which can be effectively used for the filling purpose.

The MbPT has requested the Authority to amend the above said condition no. (v) of recommendation so that reclamation could be allowed.

The Authority discussed the matter and opined that MbPT need to explore other options for locating tank farms other than reclamation which is not permissible activity as per the CRZ Notification, 2011. Further, MbPT need to clarify whether the tank farm is the port related activity.

Member Secretary

Page 28 of 91

After deliberation, the Authority decided to defer the matter for submission of the compliance as above.

<u>Item No.21:</u>
Proposal for construction of saline embankments at three sites in Sindhudurg district Maharashtra by Sindhudurg Kharland Development Div. Sindhudurg Nagari

Officials from the Kharland Department presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of saline embankments at three sites in Sindhudurg district Maharashtra. Kharland Department, Sindhudurg has proposed following 3 schemes:

- 1. Belwadi-Jamsande Kharland Scheme: Embankment site at Belwadi-Jamsande village is located on Vijaydurg creek. Embankment bund length is proposed to be 2325 m with height of about 3 m
- 2. Mondpar Kharland Scheme: Embankment Site at Mondpar village is located on Vijaydurg creek. Embankment bund length is proposed to be 1560 m with maximum height of about 4.14 m.
- 3. Sarambal Kharland Scheme: Embankment site at Sarambal village is located on Vijaydurg Creek. Embankment bund length is proposed to be 910 m with height of about 3.89 m.

Details of the proposed Kharland Scheme are as follows:

Sr. No.	Kharlan d Scheme	Taluka	No. of village s	No. of villages	Len gth of bun d	Total Reclaime d area (Ha)	Reclaime d area (CRZ clearanc e obtained) (Ha)	Addition al area for which CRZ clearanc e is required (ha)
1	Balewa di Jamsan	Devga d	2	Balewadi and Jamsand	232 5 m	59	40	19

Member Secretary

Page 29 of 91

Chairman Chairman

	de			e]			
2	Mondpa r	Devga d	1	Mondpar	156 0 m	88	15	73
3	Saramb al	Devga d	1	Sarambal	910 m	115	19	96

The PP has submitted the Rapid EIA for the project, brief of the same is as follows:

- At present all the three sites has old saline embankments. Land along the
 embankment may be impacted to some extent as the marginal land will be
 used in embankment. However, there will not be any major change in
 existing land use pattern after construction of new embankment
 structure.
- Tree plantation can be done wherever possible along the embankment to mitigate change in land use to the possible extent. However, this permanent change in land use may not be mitigated fully.
- The excavated soil during construction will be used back on site for levelling. In case of nay extra soil generated, will be handed as per norms and would in no case be disposed off in any of the nearby water body.
- Construction of the proposed project may affect water quality and coastal hydrology as the placement of stone may cause increased turbidity silt, change in concentration of organic matter in the water and sediment suspension.
- Owing to the fact that these embankment bunds are large and found in a
 continuous stretch along the coastline, their presence alters the intensity
 of the wave energy. Therefore, they may potentially impact the current
 patterns and water mixing.
- Temporary sediment control measures like silt fencing, suitable earth holding bags filled with coconut coir will be used where necessary to reduce the impact of construction activities on the nearby marine water quality.
- Trees/mangroves transplantation activity should be carried out instead
 of cutting of the trees. However, if it not possible appropriate plantation
 activity should be carried out to mitigate the loss.

The Authority noted that saline embankment is proposed along the creek front. Further in intertidal area which may alter the flow dynamic and course of water flow. This will have more damage on the downstream and upstream site.

Member Secretary

Page 30 of 91

The impact of embankment on the surrounding ecology is not reported in the EIA report. It has also been observed that existing bund had adverse impacts on the downward side of the Creek bund leading to more erosion.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to reject the proposal for the reasons as stated above.

Item No.22:
Proposed work of Anti-sea Erosion bunds at 12 sites in Sidhudurg district Maharashtra by Sindhudurg Harbour Div. Kudal

Harbour Engineer, Sindhudurg presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the proposal is for Anti-Sea Erosion bunds at 12 sites in Sindhudurg District

- 1. Mithumumbari- 115 m
- 2. Hindale- 134 m
- 3. Munage Wirachapar- 420 m
- 4. Waniwade Sarwankarwadi- 116 m
- 5. Bagmalagoan- 222 m
- 6. Masurkar Khot Juva- 537 m
- 7. Deobag to Tarkarli- 3 km
- 8. Masurkar Juva Bet- 345 m
- 9. Manasishwar Creek- 157 m
- 10. Sagareshwar Kurlewadi- 210 m
- 11. Ubhadanda navabaug- 235 m
- 12. Bhogave Killenivati- 225 m

The Authority noted that all the 12 sites in Sindhudurg district are located in CRZ I area. The Authority suggested that instead of putting hard structures in CRZ I area, Harbour Department of Sindhudurg division need to explore the soft solutions for protection of coastline.

It was brought to the notice of officers of Harbor division that currently ADB projects are going at Ubhadanda area, wherein soft solutions are being implemented for coastal management.

Considering the unique coastal ecology and tourism potential of the Sindhudurg coast, it would be appropriate to explore soft solution such as beach

Membersecretary

Page 31 of 91

replenishment, sand dune stabilization, increasing coastal vegetation etc, instead of constructing hard structures in intertidal areas. There is a possibility of the alteration of the natural course of coastal water bodies due to hard structure along the water bodies. This alteration of the flow of the coastal water body may damage of the coastal ecology.

The Authority after deliberation decided to reject the proposal of antisea erosion bunds at above said 12 sites. The PP may revert with a proposal of soft measures for preventing coastal erosion, as discussed above.

<u>Item No.23:</u> Proposed extension of existing ramp and retaining wall and pathway at Khumbharkhanpada (Dombivali), Tal. Kalyan, Dist. Thane by MMB

The MMB officials presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for Extension of Existing ramp and retaining wall and pathway at kumbharkhanpada (Dombiwali), Tal: Kalyan, Dist: Thane. The dimensions of the ramp are 20m x 6m and 200 m length retaining wall.

The Authority discussed the proposal and felt that extension of existing ramp can be allowed. However, proposal of retaining wall can be avoided in the area.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal of extension to existing ramp from CRZ point of view to concern planning Authority subject to compliance of following condition:

- 1. Proposed project should be as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time)
- 2. Construction of parallel / vertical retaining wall is not allowed.
- 3. No mangroves should be cut/destroyed for the proposed activity.
- 4. All other required permissions from different statutory authorities should be obtained prior to commencement of work

<u>Item No.24:</u> Proposed widening and renovation of road from Bypass to Retibunder Mahaghat in Mumbra Ward committee area of TMC by TMC

Officials of Thane Municipal Corporation presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for widening and renovation of road from Bypass

Member Secretary

Page 32 of 91

to Retibunder Mahaghat in Mumbra Ward committee area (ward 55), near to the waterfront area (thane Creek - Ulhas river) of the Mumbra. The project also aims at widening and renovation of existing road from Visarjan Ghat (at Retibunder Mumbra) to Mumbra Atlanta Bridge along the waterfront area to avoid excess traffic congestion on the NH4 Highway. The proposed plan of broadening and construction of new service road along the creek side starts from Visarjan Ghat Mumbra Reti Bunder to Atlanta bridge which is in 1550 m in length and 9 m in width towards Creek side & from Thane Municipal Garden to opposite of visarjan ghat mumbra reti bunder is in 1050m in length and 9 m in width comes under Retibunder, Thane.

As per the approved CZMP, the plot under reference falls in CRZ II area. The PP has submitted the Rapid EIA/ EMP for the project.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed project should be as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time)
- 2. Prior High Court permission should be obtained by MMRDA, since mangroves are affected due to project.
- 3. PP to implement the Environment Management Plan while implementation and operation phase of the project.
- 4. PP to ensure minimum mangrove vegetation is affected due to proposed bridge.
- 5. PP to ensure that construction debris should not be disposed of in CRZ area.
- 6. PP should restore the site after completion of the proposed activities.
- 7. PP to carry out compensatory mangrove replantation
- 8. All other required permissions from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.25:

Proposed reconstruction of Cricket Club of India (CCI) DSS on land bearing plot no. 210 & 211, A ward, Fort Division, Mumbai by The Brihan Mumbai Electric Supply & Transport Undertaking

The project proponent was absent for the meeting. Hence, the proposal was deferred.

Member Secretary

Page 33 of 91

Item No.26:

Redevelopment at plot bearing C.S. no. 577 of Malbar Hill division, D ward, at Nepean sea Road, Mumbai by M/s. Adroit Estate Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted the followings:

- The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) vide letter dated 15.2.2014 forwarded the proposal of redevelopment at plot bearing C.S. No. 577 of Malbar Hill Division, D Ward, situated at Nepeansea Road, Mumbai.
- 2. As per CZMP of Mumbai approved by MoEF in the year 2000; the land under reference falls in CRZ II area and situated on landward side of existing Laxmibai Jagmohandas road, in existence prior to 19.2.1991. As per remarks of MCGM dated 15.2.2014, the land under reference is situated in Residential zone as per 1967 DP as well as 1993 DP.
- 3. As per remarks of MCGM dated 15.2.2014, There existed cessed A category buildings of Ground + 1 upper floor and outhouse / servants quarter of ground and part 1 upper floor as per the sheet No. 219, 5th edition 1968, 219 C.S. Plan. The existing user of the old building was residential.
- 4. MCGM vide letter dated 1.11.2003 mentions that the existing buildings on the plot under reference are in dilapidated condition and recommended to be pulled down. MHADA has issued NOC on 29.4.2005 for proposed redevelopment project with 1.33 FSI.
- 5. Plot area under consideration is 1841.98 Sqm.

The Authority noted the earlier decisions taken by the Authority in its 91^{st} , 99^{th} & 102^{nd} meeting of MCZMA held on 29^{th} to 31^{st} May, 2014 & 16^{th} May, 2015 and 31^{st} July & 1^{st} August, 2015 respectively.

The PP presented that construction is proposed as per the earlier CRZ NoC obtained as per the procedure at the relevant time in the matter with FSI 1.33 only as per the DCR 1967 vide letter dated 20.09.2003.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to allow the construction as per earlier CRZ recommendation issued on 20.09.2003 and grant the CRZ recommendation from CRZ point of view to concern planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

Member Secretary

Page 34 of 91

- The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 i.e. DCR 1967 before issuing further commencement certificate to the project.
- 2. The local body to ensure that construction undertaken till date is as per the conditions stipulated in earlier CRZ recommendation.
- 3. Project proponent if eligible for dispensation under 8 (v) may apply separately, for the CRZ permission following due procedure stipulated in the Notification.
- 4. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.27:</u> Proposed shipyard at Ulwe, Belapur Creek by M/s Rock & Reef Dredging Pvt. Ltd.

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for shipyard at Ulwe, Belapur Creek by M/s Rock & Reef Dredging Pvt. Ltd.

<u>Phase-I</u>

- 1. Slipway for haulage and launching of vessels $25 \, \text{m} \times 45 \, \text{m}$
- 2. Reclamation and Bund
- 3. Workshops for miscellaneous activities like carpentry, painting plate cutting, valves over hauling etc.
- 4. Office for administrative purposes
- 5. Sub-station and electrical panel room to cater for electrical needs of the Shipyard
- 6. Under ground Water Tank and Pump House to meet water requirements of the shipyard

Phase-II

- 1. Approach jetty of length 108 m and breadth 10 m
- 2. Berthing jetty of length 50 m and breadth 15 m
- 3. Bollards and fenders as required
- 4. Dredging

Member Secretary

Page 35 of 91

Chairman Chairman

As per CRZ map prepared by IRS, Chennai, the proposed berthing jetty and Slipway falls in CRZ IVB, CRZ IB respectively and the proposed Berthing Jetty and Slipway falls in CRZ IB and CRZ III as per CRZ 2011. It is also observed that the proposed project details such as berthing jetty, Slipway and Workshop are within the HTL for Panvel creek as per approved CZMP. There are mangroves also shown on the site however the project site is conclusively beyond CRZ IA (50m from mangroves).

The Authority discussed the Rapid EIA/ EMP for the project.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the project to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- The proposed construction should be carried out strictly as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (as amended from time to time) and guidelines/ clarifications given by MoEF from time to time.
- 2. PP to ensure that creek channel should not be blocked, due to jetty construction and ensure free flow of water.
- 3. PP to ensure that painting, spraying activities should be carried out in a closed shed and no effluent/residual should be released into the creek water.
- 4. PP to restrict the project activities within the land allotted by the MMB.
- 5. Mangroves, if any, should not be destroyed/ cut for the project activities.
- 6. Prior High Court permission should be obtained, if the project falls within 50 m mangroves buffer zone area.
- 7. Natural course of creek water and tidal regime should not be hampered due to proposed activities.
- 8. Environment Management Plan should be implemented effectively during construction and operation phase of the project.
- 9. PP should ensure that livelihood activities of the fishermen communities should not be hampered due to project activities. The local fishermen will be allowed free and non-discontinuity access to operate their fishing boats.
- 10. No labour camp, machineries and material storage is allowed in CRZ area & it should also be ensured that the waste water from these entities should not be released into the coastal water body. Effluent treatment plant should be located outside CRZ area.

Member Secretary

Page 36 of 91

- 11. Debris generated during the project activity should not be dumped in CRZ area. It should be disposed scientifically at a designated place.
- 12. Sewage and effluent should not be discharged into the coastal water body.
- 13. Extra care to be taken to avoid oil/wastes and leakages or spillages and its discharge should not be into coastal water body.
- 14.PP to ensure that best industrial practices should be followed for fire safety measures and for conservation of coastal environment
- 15. During the construction& operational phase, all efforts should be made to maintain ecology of the area.
- 16. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

<u>Item No.28:</u> Proposed construction of Holiday Resort on plot bearing G. No. 211/2, 212/3 & 212/4 area 0-96-6 H. at mouje Agarsure, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad

The project proponent was absent for the meeting. Hence, the proposal was deferred.

<u>Item No.29:</u>
Proposed construction of residential cum commercial building on plot bearing S. No. 20/2/1/1, 20/2/1, 17/1/1, 17/1/2 at mauje Umberpada-Nandade, Tal. & Dist. Palghar by Shri. Aarti Anandkumar Patkar & Others

The proposal was earlier considered in 115^{th} meeting of MCZMA held on 17-18.1.2017, wherein the Authority after examining the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale & report of IRS, Chennai granted the CRZ NoC to the project.

The PP presented that the said letter of MCZMA mistakenly states that the plot is partly inside CRZ area. However, the plot is situated outside CRZ area, as per the above said CRZ map of the IRS, Chennai.

The Authority examined the above said CRZ map in 1:4000 scale and observed that the plot plot bearing S. No. 20/2/1/1, 20/2/1, 17/1/1, 17/1/2 at mauje Umberpada-Nandade, Tal. & Dist. Palghar is fronting to creek and situated outside applicable CRZ setback area, as per approved CZMP and as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.

Member Secretary

Page 37 of 91

<u>Item No.30:</u> CRZ status at plot bearing survey no. 12, 13 & others of village Majiwada, Thane (W) by M/s Kapstone Constructions.

The project proponent presented the matter. The PP presented that there is earlier Environment clearance dated 15^{th} May, 2012 from MoEF, New Delhi for the development on the plot bearing survey no. 12, 13 & others of village Majiwada, Thane (W). Now, the PP is seeking the CRZ status of the plot, considering the 100 m CRZ buffer area from the HTL of the creek as per the provisions of the CRZ Notification, 2011.

The proposal was earlier considered in 117^{th} meeting of MCZMA held on 5-6.4.2017, wherein the Authority observed the followings:

- 1. PP to submit the CRZ map which has been considered by the MoEF, New Delhi for granting the earlier CRZ clearance in the year 2012.
- 2. PP to submit the survey nos. for which the CRZ clearance has been granted by the MoEF, New Delhi.
- 3. PP to clarify whether there is any earlier reclassification done by the MoEF for the site.
- 4. PP to submit report from the planning authority delineating the existing construction of the PP.

The Authority in its 117th meeting decided to defer the matter for the submission of the compliance as stated above. In the meanwhile, the TMC is prohibited from according any approvals/ clearances to this project.

Accordingly, M/s. Kapstone Constructions Pvt. Ltd. has submitted reply dated 22.5.2017, as per which:

Sr. No.	Observations	Reply
1.	which has been considered	CRZ map which has been considered by the MoEF, New Delhi for granting the earlier CRZ clearance in the year 2012 is submitted.
2.	1	Survey No. 12/1/1, 12/1/2, 12/2, 12/3/1, 12/3/2, 12/4/1, 12/4/2, 12/4/3, 13/1/1,

Member Secretary

Page 38 of 91

	clearance has been granted by the MoEF, New Delhi.	13/3/1, 13/3/2, 14/1(pt), 15/1, 15/2, 15/3, 15/4, 15/5, 16/2/A, 16/3, 16/4, 16/5, 16/6, 17/3, 17/4/A, 17/5, 17/6/A, 18/3A, 18/6A, 19/1A, 19/2/1A, 19/2/1B, 19/2/1C, 19/3/1A, 19/3/1B, 19/3/1C, 19/4/1A, 19/4/1B, 19/4/1C, 19/5/1A, 19/5/1B, 19/5/1C, 20/1/1, 20/1/2, 20/2/1, 20/2/2, 20/3/1, 20/3/2, 20/3/3, 20/3/4, 20/3/5, 20/3/6, 20/4/1, 20/4/2, 21/1(pt), 30/2, 30/3, 30/5(pt), 30/6(pt), 30/7, 35/1, 35/2, 35/5, 36/1, 36/2, 36/3, 37/1, 37/2, 37/3, 37/4, 37/5A, 37/6, 37/7A, 45/1, 45/2A, 45/3, 45/4A, 45/8A, 45/9, 46/1A, 46/2, 46/3A, 46/4A. 47/1A, 49/1, 49/2, 49/3, 50/1, 50/2, 50/3, 51/1, 51/3, 51/4, 51/5, 51/6, 51/7, 51/8, 52/1/3, 52/1/4, 52/1/7, 52/1/8, 52/1/9, 52/6(pt), 52/7(pt), 53/2/2, 53/2/3, 54/1, 54/2, 54/3, 54/4, 55/1, 55/2, 55/3, 55/5, 84/1, 84/2, 84/3, 327/1, 327/2A, 327/2C, 327/2E, 327/2F, 327/2G, 327/2H, 327/2J, 328/1, 328/2, 328/3A, 328/3B, 328/3C, 328/3D, 328/3B, 328/3F, 328/3G, 328/3H, 329/5A, 329/6A, 345/1, 345/2, 345/7, 345/10, 345/11, 345/13, 345/14, 423/1B,
		328/3E, 328/3F, 328/3G, 328/3H, 328/3J, 329/1, 329/2, 329/3, 329/4, 329/5A, 329/6A, 345/1, 345/2, 345/7,
3.	PP to clarify whether there is any earlier reclassification done by the MoEF for the site.	There is no reclassification done by the MoEF for the said site.
4.	PP to submit report from the planning authority delineating the existing construction of the PP.	The project has already accorded CRZ clearance by MoEF, New Delhi after taking report from Thane Municipal Corporation and then recommended by MCZMA to MoEF. On approval from MoEF, TMC has

Member Secretary

Page 39 of 91

sanctioned the layout plans for the project.
 Copy of sanctioned layout plan is submitted

After detail discussion and deliberation and taking into account the background of the matter, the Authority decided that PP to abide by the Environment Clearance dated 15th May, 2012 granted to the project. The CRZ setback line of 100m or width of the Creek as per CRZ Notification, 2011 should be from HTL in the approved CZMP only as prepared by MoEF authorized agency. Local body to ensure the same while granting permissions, if any, to the project in future and also ensure that there is no violation of conditions of earlier EC. Decision taken in earlier MCZMA meeting about stopping of construction of work on site stands withdrawn.

<u>Item No.31:</u> Status of land from CRZ point of view having CTS No. 320 of Juhu, Mumbai by Shri. Hitesh Chhatwal

The project proponent presented the matter before the Authority. The Applicant has submitted that the plot CTS No. 320 of Juhu, Mumbai is situated beyond 500 m from the HTL of the sea front based on the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale and report prepared by IRS, Chennai.

The Authority noted that the matter was earlier deliberated in 113^{th} meeting of MCZMA held on 8^{th} to 11^{th} August, 2016.

The Authority noted that, Applicant has claimed that the plot CTS No. 320 of Juhu, Mumbai is situated beyond 500 m from the HTL of the sea front based on the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale and report prepared by IRS, Chennai, wherein the Authority noted that the PP has submitted the two CRZ maps in 1:4000 scale dated 27.1.2015 and 12.2.2016 of the IRS, Chennai which are in conflict. One of the CRZ report dated 27.1.2015 mentions that the plot under reference falls partly in CRZ II area as per approved CZMP. The Authority further noted the Hon. high Court order dated 28.3.2016 in WP (L) No. 3195/2015 filed by Shri. Hitesh Chhatwal in the matter.

In 113rd meeting, the Project proponent thereafter agreed to submit the building construction proposal with $1.00\ FSI$ as applicable in the CRZ area, as per DCR existing as on 19.2.1991 to the MCZMA for consideration and recommendation. Considering the above, Authority decided to disposed off the

Member Secretary

Page 40 of 91

matter and requested PP to submit application, as agreed by him, for CRZ permission for the proposed work as per the norms. Further, it was decided that the status in the matter as above will be communicated to Hon. High Court.

However, the PP vide letter dated 19.5.2017 submitted the clarification of the IRS, Chennai about the above said CRZ maps. PP has informed that Dr. K. Srinivasa Raju, Associate Professor, IRS, Chennai vide email dated 10.5.2017 clarified that, "It is clarify that the HTL line indicated in DP remarks of MCGM is differing from HTL in approved CZMP. The 500 m line from HTL in DP remarks is passing through site, where as CRZ boundary as indicated in approved CZMP as 1:25000 scale is outside as indicated in Map provided in 2016. Hence the issue is due to shift in representation of HTL in DP remarks of MCGM."

The PP has requested the Authority to grant the Non CRZ status to the plot under reference based on the CRZ map and clarification given by the IRS, Chennai.

The Authority re-examined the CRZ maps of the IRS, Chennai and took note of the clarification issued by the IRS, Chennai and came to conclusion that the plot under reference is situated outside 500m CRZ setback line from the HTL of the Arabain Sea, as per approved CZMP.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that CTS No. 320 of Juhu, Mumbai is situated outside 500m CRZ setback line from the HTL of the Arabain Sea, as per approved CZMP.

<u>Item No.32:</u> Proposal construction of residential building on plot bearing CTS No. 440 at village Dahanu Gaon, Tal. Dahanu Dist. Palghar by Shri. Atmaram Hiraji Patil

The Project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of residential building comprises ground floor + first floor on plot bearing CTS No. 440 at village Dahanu Gaon, Tal. Dahanu Dist. Palghar. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Dahanu, the plot under reference falls in residential zone. Total plot area - 222.40 sqm and Proposed total BUA - 161.04 sqm.

Member Secretary

Page 41 of 91

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. Local body to ensure that reconstruction is permitted without change in present use of the present structure.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.33:</u> Proposed construction of bungalow on bearing G. No. 155/3/2 at Masoli Dahanu by for Dr. Vijay Kadu & Dr. Bharat Kadu.

The Project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of residential building on plot bearing S. No. 155/3/2 part at village Masoli, Tal: Dahanu, Dist: Palghar. As per the CZMP, the plot under reference falls in CRZ II area and situated on landward side of existing road. Area of project site is 1196.13 Sqm.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. Local body to ensure that reconstruction is permitted without change in present use of the present structure.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.34:</u> Proposed drinking water pipeline at Savitri River, Das Gaon, Tal. Mahad, Dist. Raigad by Akhil Bhoi Samaj Sanghatana Dasgaon.

The Project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for laying of water 3-inch pipeline of length 2.5 km at village Dasgoan

Member Secretary

Page 42 of 91

(Bhoiwadi), Dist: Raigad, across Savitri river. It is passing through CRZ III area. Under this scheme, the PP has proposed to lift the drinking water from their traditional well which are situated on the island. At present the villagers are using the water from these traditional wells. The privy organic Ltd will undertake the construction of pipeline, pump house and repairing civil water tanks as a CSR activity.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concern planning authority subject to following condition:

- 1. The proposed construction should be carried out strictly as per the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (as amended from time to time) and guidelines/ clarifications given by MoEF from time to time.
- 2. No mangrove should be cut/ destroyed for the proposed activity.
- 3. Natural course of the river should not be hampered due to proposed activity.
- 4. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.35:

Proposed extension to the existing structure on plot bearing C. S. No. 2933A, 2933B, 2934, 2935, S. No. 876A, H. no. 1C/1, 1C/2, 1C/4, 1C/5, village Dhuriwada, Tal. Malvan, Dist. Sindhudurg by Shri. Suresh Narayan Prabhuzantye

The matter was deferred, due to issue of CVCA status to Malvan, as per the provisions of CRZ Notification,2011. The Authority noted that the matter of CVCA status to Malvan has been sent to Law and Judiciary Department for guidance/remarks. On receipt of the said comments from Law & Judiciary dept, the proposals of the Malvan could be decided. Till the time, the matter is deferred.

Item No.36:

Proposed reconstruction on Plot bearing S. No. 73/216/B, CTS. No. 2526 and 2536 Tal. Murud Dist. Raigad by Shri. Ramchandra Krishna Arekar

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for reconstruction of residential building comprises ground floor on plot bearing S. No. 73/216/B, CTS. No. 2526 and 2536 Tal. Murud, Dist. Raigad. There are existing structures on site, existing from year, 1970. The plot under

Member Secretary

Page 43 of 91

reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Murud Janjira, the plot under reference is falls in residential zone. Total plot area – 197.10sqm and proposed total BUA – 72.88sqm

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. Local body to ensure that reconstruction is permitted without change in present use of the present structure.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.37:</u> Proposed reconstruction on Plot bearing C.T.S. no. 1869, S. No. 80, H. No. 6 at mauje Rahatagar Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri by Sou. Ujwala Jayprakash Dudhwadkar.

The proposal is for extension of existing house on plot bearing S. No. 80, H. No. 6, C. S. No. 1869, 1869/1 of mauje Rahatghar, Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Ratnagiri, the plot under reference is falls in residential zone. Total plot area - 297.24sqm, Existing BUA of ground floor - 54.72sqm and Proposed BUA - 34.71sqm

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. Local body to ensure that reconstruction is permitted without change in present use of the present structure.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Member Secretary

Page 44 of 91

Chainman Chainman

<u>Item No.38:</u> CRZ status of project site bearing CTS no. 368 /1(pt) of village Dahisar at Dahisar (W), R. N. ward, Mumbai

The Project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The PP is seeking the CRZ status of project site bearing CTS no. 368 /1(pt) of village Dahisar at Dahisar (W), R. N. ward, Mumbai.

The Authority noted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale & report dated 04.01.2017 prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per report of IRS Chennai,

- The HTL demarcated by the IRS, Chennai corresponds to the HTL shown in the approved CZMP subject to the generalization error caused by the variation in the scale of mapping. It is also observed that there is a change in the HTL while comparing the CRZ 2011 with approved CZMP and it is mainly due to geomorphological changes occurred between 1991 and 2011.
- CRZ shall be applied for the land /site within the 100m buffer zone from HTL for Bay / Creek as per para (ii) of CRZ Notification 2011 of MoEF vide S. O. 19 (E), dated 06..01.2011. In this case, the details about the land not affected by CRZ (Non CRZ Area) and affected by CRZ IA and II (CRZ Areas) as per Approved CZMP and CRZ 2011 Notifications are given in the Annexure I by considering CRZ buffer of Maximum 100 m/Width of the Creek as the proposed project site is affected by CRZ of Creek.

The Authority noted that HTL of the approved CZMP differs with HTL demarcated by the IRS under CRZ Notification, 2011. The Authority decided to adopt the stringent criteria for each plot.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that the CRZ status of the sites as follows:

Sr No.	CTS No.	Mangroves	Mangrove Buffer zone	CRZ
1	335/1	153.60	114.70	
2	335/2	64.56	2297.68	349.86
3	335/3	48.96	222.74	-
4	368/2	-	67.50	-

Member Secretary

Page 45 of 91

Sr No.	CTS No.	Intertidal (CRZI)	CRZ landward	Non CRZ
1	368/1	495.76	1022.21	1405.13

<u>Item No.39:</u> Proposed construction of Plot bearing CTS no. 913 B/1+K913 at Alibag, Dist. Raigad

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the proposal is for construction involves Stilt + 3 floors for residential use on plot bearing C.S.No. 913 B/1+K913/A at village Alibaug, Raigad. As per the approved CZMP, the plot under reference is situated in CRZ II area and landward side of existing construction. Plot area having 286.23 Sam.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.40:

Proposed reconstruction of Maternity Hospital & Medical College on plot bearing S. No. 46/1A1 & 46/2, at Mauje Alibaug, Tal. Alibaug, Dist. Raigad by Konkan Education Society

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for reconstruction of Maternity Hospital & Medical College comprises of ground + 2 upper floors on plot bearing S. No. 46/1A1 & 46/2, at Mauje Alibag, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad. There are existing structures on site, existing from year, 1954. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Alibag, the plot under reference falls in public & semipublic zone. Total plot area ~ 6644.00sqm and proposed total BUA ~ 6642.43sqm

Member Secretary

Page 46 of 91

HW 3 Chairman

The Authority noted the para 4 of the CRZ Notification, 2011, as per which demolition and reconstruction of the public use buildings requires permission from the MoEF, New Delhi.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to MoEF, New Delhi subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed reconstruction should be in accordance with provision of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time)and circulars/approval issued by the MoEF, new Delhi
- 2. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 3. Local body to ensure the management of hospital waste in accordance with Biomedical waste (M & H) Rules.
- 4. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.41:</u> Proposed construction on plot bearing S. No. 83/6A of city, Shriwardhan, Tal. Shriwardhan Dist. Raigad by Shri. Salman Khalil R. Mulla

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for reconstruction of residential building ground floor + 4 upper floors on plot bearing 5. No. 83/6A of Shriwardhan, Tal. Shriwardhan, Dist. Raigad._The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Shriwardhan, the plot under reference is falls in residential zone. Total plot area - 615.00sqm and Proposed BUA - 614.909sqm

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Member Secretary

Page 47 of 91

Item No.42:

Proposed of construction of Power Plant with biogas on plot bearing S.no. 52, Hissa No. 1 to 7, S. No. 74, Hissa No. 4 Part 5B 11+12+13 Part at Mouje Rahatnagar and S. No. 127 Hissa No. 1 to 10 S. No. 126 A1 Hissa No. 1/2 & 126 B Part, S. No. 52 CTS No. 821/2 Plot area 1400 sq. mtr Part 600 sqmt at Mouje Zadgaon Tal Dist Ratnagiri.

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of Power Plant with Biogas on plot bearing S. No. 52, Hissa No. 1 to 7, S. No. 74, Hissa No. 4 Part 5B 11+12+13 Part at Mouje Rahatnagar and S. No. 127 Hissa No. 1 to 10 S. No. 126 A1 Hissa No. 1/2 & 126 B Part, S. No. 52 CTS No. 821/2 at Mouje Zadgaon Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991.

As per the Development plan of Ratnagiri, the plot under reference is falls in fish industry zone and non-residential zone. Total plot area - 1400.00sqm.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activity should be in accordance with provision of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time)
- 2. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 3. Local body to ensure that no segregation or storage of material is allowed on site.
- 4. Local body to ensure dumping of the waste in CRZ area is not allowed.
- 5. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.43:</u> CRZ status of land bearing S. No. 79 at village Kanivade, tal. Vasai, dist. Palghar by Mr. Govind Ganpat Keeni

The Authority noted that the project proponent is seeking CRZ status of land bearing S. No. 79 at village khanivade, tal. Vasai, dist. Palghar. The PP has submitted unsigned & draft CRZ map in 1:4000 scale prepared by IRS, Chennai.

Member Secretary

Page 48 of 91

Chqirman

Therefore, the Authority decided to reject the proposal from the records of the MCZMA.

Item No.44:

Permission to excavate to lay cable for new Electric Substation on plot bearing S. No. 31/8 (pt), H. No. 54 (pt), CTS No. 177 of village Erangal, Nr Madh Marve Road, Malad, Mumbai by Reliance Infrastructure Ltd.

The Authority noted that the application is for permission to excavate to lay cable for New Electric Substation on plot bearing S. No. 31/8 (pt), H. No. 54 (pt), CTS No. 177 of village Erangal, Nr Madh Marve Road, Malad, Mumbai to MCZMA. Size of new electric substation is 4.0 m \times 2.5 m.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activity should be in accordance with provision of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time)
- 2. Mangroves, if any should not be destroyed or cut for the proposed activity.
- 3. PP to ensure that the site is restored after laying the cable.
- 4. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.45:

Proposed Township under Rental Housing Scheme of MMRDA at village Ranjoli, Tal. Bhiwandi, Dist. Thane by M/s TATA Housing Development Company Ltd.

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for development of township under Rental Housing Scheme of MMRDA at on plot bearing S. No. 32,34,35,36,37,49, H. No. 1 to 9, S. No. 2, 4/2 S. No. 53, H. No. 1A and 8, S. No. 53, H. No. 16 & 18 of village Ranjoli, Tal. Bhiwandi, Dist. Thane.

The PP presented that the proposed development of the township can be allowed on Non CRZ portion of the project site, as per approved CZMP. The project has received Environment clearance on June, 2014. The PP further presented that proposed development is totally in Non CRZ area and no FSI of

Member Secretary

Page 49 of 91

the CRZ portion is proposed to be utilised on Non CRZ portion of the said project site.

The Authority noted that PP can undertake construction work on Non CRZ portion of the project site, as per approved CZMP under CRZ Notification, 1991. The PP need to submit the layout of the project superimposed on approved CZMP.

The After deliberation, the Authority, subject to submission of above stated approved CZMP, decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view on Non CRZ portion of the project site with following conditions:

- No construction is allowed in CRZ portion of the land, as per the approved CZMP.
- 2. FSI of the CRZ portion of land is not allowed to utilise on Non CRZ portion of the said land.
- 3. Construction debris should not be dumped in CRZ area.

<u>Item No.46:</u> Proposed redevelopment of building on plot bearing CTS No. C/1615 of Village Bandra Carter Road, Mumbai.

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for redevelopment of dilapidated ground + 2 floor building on plot bearing CTS No. C/1615 of village Bandra (W), Mumbai, under para 8.v(c) of CRZ Notification, 2011. Proposed building involves Basement + Ground floor /stilt + 1^{st} parking floor + end service floor + 3^{rd} to 12^{th} (pt) upper floors for residential use. Plot area is 754.20 Sqm and Built up area is 2036.01 Sqm. The plot is in CRZ II area and situated on landward side of existing road. The plot falls in Residential zone as per old as well sanctioned DP (1993) and is not under any reservation as per both DP.

The Authority noted that MCGM has issued Notice dated 20.11.2015 declaring the building dilapidated and dangerous.

The Authority further noted that the MCGM has mentioned the Hon'ble High Court orders in WP no. 1108 of 2013 and Chamber summons no. 180 of 2013 in

Member Secretary

Page 50 of 91

property redevelopers association and ors V/s Union of India by Bombay High Court and is reproduced as under:

"-----as such therefore the term 'identified buildings' cannot be held to be identified as on the date on which the Notification was issued. The intention of Central Government was to carve out an exception to the general rule in respect of these identified buildings viz. dilapidated, safe and unsafe buildings. To restrict this category only to the date on which the notification was issued would render the exception which is given by the Central Government nugatory. To that extent therefore, it is clarified that these identified buildings would not be restricted to building that are old and dilapidated, cessed and/or unsafe as on 6^{th} January, 2011, but also those buildings which become unsafe and dilapidated subsequently so as to get the exception available under para 8(v)(c)(1) of 2011 Notification"

The Authority discussed the proposal and took note of the Hon'ble High Court order. The Authority further observed that the PP needs to submit the public hearing report, as per the provision of the para 8.v.1.(c) of the CRZ Notification, 2011.

The Authority, subject to submission of public hearing report, decided to recommend the proposal to concerned planning Authority from CRZ point of view subject strict compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed redevelopment should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. Local body to ensure that proposed construction is as per DCR prevailing as on the date on which the project is granted approval by the competent Authority.
- 3. Local body to ensure that concern expressed in public hearing should be addressed before giving Commencement Certificate.
- 4. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.47: Proposed

Proposed redevelopment of plot bearing CTS No. D/1016 Pali Danda Road, chuim Village, H/West ward, Bandra (W) Mumbai.

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for redevelopment of existing ground + 1 floor structure on plot

Member Secretary

Page 51 of 91

bearing CTS No. D/1016, Pali Danda Road, Chuim village, H/West ward, Bandra (W), Mumbai. Proposed construction involves Ground + 2 upper floors for residential use on plot bearing CTS No. D/1016, Pali Danda Road, Chuim village, H/West ward, Bandra (W), Mumbai. Area of the plot is 101.20 Sqm, permissible FSI is 1. The plot under reference is in residential zone and is not reserved for any public purpose. The plot falls in CRZ II area and situated on landward side of existing carter road.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. Local body to ensure that reconstruction is permitted without change in present use of the present structure.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.48:</u> CRZ status of project site bearing CTS. No. 190C, Juhu Div, K/W ward, Mumbai by M/s My Home Foundation Group

The Authority noted that the project proponent is seeking CRZ status of project site bearing CTS. No. 190C, Juhu Div, K/W ward, Mumbai. The Authority noted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale & report dated 28.10.2015 prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per report of IRS Chennai.

- The HTL demarcated by the IRS, Chennai corresponds to the HTL shown in the approved CZMP 1991, subject to the generalization error caused by the variation in the scale of mapping.
- CRZ shall be applied for the land area from High Tide Line (HTL) to 500 m on the landward side along the sea front as per para (i) of CRZ Notification 2011 of MoEF vide 5. O. 19 (E), Dated 06.01.2011. In this case, the project site containing CTS No. 190D & 190C of Juhu division, K/W Ward, Mumbai is within the 500 m buffer and away from 200m buffer from HTL of Arabian Sea. It is also observed that, the above said site is within the 500m as per approved CZMP 1991 and away from 200m line.

Member Secretary

Page 52 of 91

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that the plot bearing CTS. No. 190C, Juhu Div, K/W ward, Mumbai falls within 500 m CRZ buffer area from the HTL of the Arabian Sea, as per the approved CZMP.

Item No.49:

Proposed change of activity from office to Restaurant along with Addition/Alteration by way of amalgamation in existing premises No. 1&2 on 3rd floor in the existing ground +3storied building known as Khan House on Plot bearing CTS No. B/10 & B/11 of village Bandra, in H/W Ward, at Hill road, Bandra West, Mumbai

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for change of activity form office to Restaurant along with addition /alteration by way of amalgamating in existing premises no. 1 and 2 on 3^{rd} floor in existing building on plot bearing CTS No. B/10 and B/11, village Bandra, Mumbai. Following activities are proposed:

- Amalgamation of 3 office units mentioned as office no.1 and office no.2 on 3rd floor in occupation plan of existing building
- creating common passage from common areas to part terrace, within the building line and carving out the required area for proposed passage, from the existing area of office 1 and office 2 on 3rd floor of existing building
- Change of activity of amalgamated area of office no.1 and office no.2 on 3rd floor to that of "Restaurant"
- converting pockets terrace to part terrace after creating access through common passage form common areas to the terrace by removing the existing slopping roof on $3^{\rm rd}$ floor pocket terrace as per OCC plans.

The Authority further noted that the plot under reference is in CRZ II area and situated on Seward side. The plot is in Residential zone in revised DP of 1994.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

Member Secretary

Page 53 of 91

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.50:</u> Proposed construction of ramp along Rangsharda road connecting Bandra - Worli Sea Link road in H/west Ward Mumbai by MCGM

The project proponent was absent for the meeting. Hence, the matter was deferred.

<u>Item No.51:</u> CRZ status of project site bearing survey no. 12/4B, 16/2, 3A, 5A, 6 &7 village pupadevi, Tal. Alibag Dist. Raigad

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The application is for CRZ status of project site bearing CTS No. 12/4b, 16/2, 3a, 5A, 6 & 7 village Pupadevi Pada, Tal. Alibuag, Dist. Raigad. The Authority noted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale & report dated 24.06.2016 prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per report of IRS Chennai:

- The HTL demarcated by the IRS, Chennai corresponds to the HTL shown in the approved CZMP 1991, subject to the generalization error caused by the variation in the scale of mapping.
- The project site at village Pupadevi pada, Tal. Alibaug, Dist. Raigad, Maharashtra is free from CRZ for both 1991 approved CZMP and 2011 regulations of MoEF.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that project site bearing survey no. 12/4B, 16/2, 3A, 5A, 6 &7 village pupadevi, Tal. Alibag Dist. Raigad is situated outside CRZ area as per approved CZMP and as per provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011

Item No.52: CRZ Status of project site bearing C.S. No. 1/1872, Mahim Div. in G/N ward, Mumbai by M/s Shashank Mehendale & Associates

Member Secretary

Page 54 of 91

The Authority noted that the project proponent is seeking CRZ Status of project site bearing C.S. No. 1/1872, Mahim Div. in G/N ward, Mumbai. The PP has submitted unsigned & draft CRZ map in 1:4000 scale prepared by IRS, Chennai. Therefore, the Authority decided to reject the proposal from the records of the MCZMA.

<u>Item No.53:</u> Proposed Tree top houses on Plot bearing Survey no. 193/5, CTS no.149A, 151 at village Guhagar, Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri by Shri. Balvant Murlidhar Parchure

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for Tree Top Houses on plot bearing Survey no. 193/5, CTS No.149A, 151 at village Guhagar, Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-III area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the CZMP prepared by SAC, Ahmedabad in 1:25000 scale and MRSAC, Nagpur in 1:5000 scale, the site under reference is situated within 500m from HTL of Sea. There is no construction on site under reference. Structures will be made by Bamboo. Total plot area – 150 sqm.

During the meeting, the Authority directed PP to submit the proposal as per the guidelines stipulated in Annexure III of CRZ Notification, 2011 regarding development of hotel/resort in CRZ area.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to defer the matter for submission of compliance as stated above.

<u>Item No.54:</u>
Proposed redevelopment of Hostel at Holy Cross Convent on plot bearing CTS No. 1102 of village Versova, at Andheri (W), Mumbai by M/s Northern Society of Sisters of the Holy Cross

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for redevelopment of Hostel at Holy Cross Convent on plot bearing CTS No. 1102 of village Versova, at Andheri (W), Mumbai by demolishing existing structure. There is an existing old structure residential hostel and bank use on plot under reference. Proposed building comprising of ground floor + 4 upper floors. The MCGM has issued IOD dated 11.08.2016 to the project. As per DP 1967, the plot under reference falls in residential zone and not reserved

Member Secretary

Page 55 of 91

for any public purpose. The plot under reference falls in CRZ II, Plot under reference falls on landward side of existing prior to 1991. Total Plot area – 1081.11sqm

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.55:</u> Proposed addition on existing commercial building on plot bearing C. S. No. 2018 B, Malvan, Dist. Sindhudurg by Shri. Chiraq Anil Mayekar

The matter was deferred, due to issue of CVCA status to Malvan, as per the provisions of CRZ Notification,2011. The Authority noted that the matter of CVCA status to Malvan has been sent to Law and Judiciary Department for guidance/ remarks. Till the remarks are received from the Law & Judiciary Dept, the matter is deferred.

<u>Item No.56:</u> CRZ status of land bearing S. No. 20 at village Sopara, Tal. Vasai, Dist. Palghar by M/s Shreeji Associates

The Authority noted that the PP is seeking CRZ status of land bearing S. No. 20 at village Sopara, Tal. Vasai, Dist. Palghar. The PP has submitted unsigned CRZ map in 1:4000 scale & report dated 23.08.2016 prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per report of IRS Chennai,

 The HTL demarcated by the IRS, Chennai corresponds to the HTL shown in the approved CZMP 1991 subject to the generalization error caused by the variation in the scale of mapping. It is also observed that there is a change in the HTL while comparing the CRZ 2011 with Approved CZMP and it is mainly due to geomorphological changes occurred between 1991 & 2011.

Member Secretary

Page 56 of 91

Chairman Chairman

- CRZ shall be applied for the land / site within the width / 100m buffer zone from HTL for Bay/Creek as per para (ii) of CRZ Notification 2011 of MoEF vide S.O. 19 (E), Dated 06.01.2011. In this case, the details about the land not affected by CRZ (Non CRZ Areas) and affected by CRZ III (CRZ Areas) as per Approved CZMP and 2011 CRZ Notifications are given in the Annexure I by considering CRZ buffer of Maximum 100m or Width of the creek whichever is less as the proposed project site is affected by CRZ of creek.
- There are no mangroves in the vicinity of the project site.

During the meeting, the Authority observed the CRZ map of the IRS, Chennai and noted that HTL of the approved CZMP differs with the HTL demarcated by the IRS, Chennai under CRZ Notification, 2011. The HTL of the approved CZMP is stringent. The Authority decided to adopt the stringent policy. However, the Authority observed the Google image of the site and there is possibility of the existence of mangroves in the vicinity of the plot as per approved CZMP. The IRS, Chennai need to clarify this.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to defer the matter for submission of the compliance as stated above.

Item No.57:

CRZ status of plot bearing S. No. 9, H. No. 1B, 2, 3, S. No. 10

& S. No. 11, H. No. 1 & 2 at village Mharal, Tal. Kalyan, Dist.

Thane by M/s Poddar Housing & Development Ltd

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the PP is seeking CRZ status of plot bearing 5. No. 9, H. No. 1B, 2, 3, S. No. 10 & S. No. 11, H. No. 1 & 2 at village Mharal, Tal. Kalyan, Dist. Thane. The PP has submitted CRZ map in 1:4000 scale & report dated 27.03.2017 prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per report of IRS Chennai,

CRZ shall be applied for the land / site within the 100m/ width of Creek buffer zone from HTL for River as per Para (ii) of CRZ Notification 2011 of MoEF vide S. O. 19 (E), dated 06.01.2011. In this case, the proposed project site in S. No. 9, Hissa No. 1A, 2, 3, S. No. 10, S. No. 11, Hissa No. 1 & 2, Mharal Village, Thane District, Maharashtra falls fully outside the 100m/width of creek buffer from HTL for Ulhas River as per GPS Survey.

Member Secretary

Page 57 of 91

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that plot bearing 5. No. 9, H. No. 1B, 2, 3, 5. No. 10 & 5. No. 11, H. No. 1 & 2 at village Mharal, Tal.—Kalyan, Dist. Thane is situated outside applicable CRZ setback area from the Ulhas river as per approved CZMP and as per provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011

Item No.58:

CRZ status of project site on plot bearing CTS No. A/104(pt), A/105, A/106, A/107, A/126(pt), A/ 132(pt) and 139 (pt) of village Bandra (W), H/W ward, Mumbai by M/s Zen Consultants

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the PP is seeking CRZ status of project site on plot bearing CTS No. A/104(pt), A/105, A/106, A/107, A/126(pt), A/132(pt) and 139 (pt) of village Bandra (W), H/W ward, Mumbai

The PP has submitted revised CRZ map in 1:4000 scale & letter dated 30.03.2017 prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per letter of IRS Chennai, the project side does not fall within 100m buffer from HTL demarcated as per CRZ Notification, 2011 as well as HTL defined in approved CZMP.

The Authority observed the above said CRZ map of IRS and noted that the project site is facing the Mahim Bay and Mahim creek. The project site is situated beyond 100 m from the approved HTL of the Mahim Bay as well as Mahim creek.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that project site on plot bearing CTS No. A/104(pt), A/105, A/106, A/107, A/126(pt), A/ 132(pt) and 139 (pt) of village Bandra (W), H/W ward, Mumbai is situated beyond CRZ area i.e. 100 m from the Mahim Bay and Mahim creek considering HTL as per the approved CZMP and provision of CRZ Notification, 2011

Item No.59:

Proposed construction of residential buildings on plot bearing Gut No. 426 (old 39), 427 (old 47), 428 (old 48), 429 (old 52) of mauje Panchali, Tal. & Dist. Palghar by M/s Shreenath Enterprises

Member Secretary

Page 58 of 91

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is construction of residential buildings on plot bearing Gut No. 426 (old 39), 427 (old 47), 428 (old 48), 429 (old 52) of mauje Panchali, Tal. & Dist. Palghar._Total plot area is 9230.00 sqm. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Alibaq, the plot under reference is falls in residential zone.

The Town Planning and Valuation Department, Palghar mentions that as per the CZMP prepared by SAC, Ahmedabad in 1:25000 scale and MRSAC, Nagpur in 1:5000 scale, the site under reference is situated within 100m to 500m from HTL of Creek.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.60:</u>
CRZ status of plot bearing CTS No. A/529, A/530 and A/531 of village Bandra, Bazar Road, Bandra (W), Mumbai by Shri. Sayed Zulfigar Haider

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the PP is seeking CRZ status of plot bearing CTS No. A/529, A/530 and A/531 of village Bandra, Bazar Road, Bandra (W), Mumbai.

The PP has submitted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale dated 16.12.2015 prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per map of IRS Chennai,

 The project side bearing CTS No. A/529, A/530, A/531 village Bandra, Bazar Road, Bandra (W), Mumbai does not fall within 100m from HTL of CRZ Notification, 2011 as well as approved CZMP.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that CRZ status of plot bearing CTS No. A/529, A/530 and A/531 of village Bandra, Bazar Road, Bandra (W), Mumbai is situated beyond CRZ area i.e beyond 100 m CRZ setback

Member Secretary

Page 59 of 91

from the HTL of the Mahim Bay as per approved CZMP and as per provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.

Item No.61:

CRZ status of plot bearing CTS No. 1/267 of Malbar Hill Division, Ridge Road, Walkeshwar, 'D' ward, Mumbai by M/s Zen Consultants

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the PP is seeking CRZ status of plot bearing CTS No. 1/267 of Malbar Hill Division, Ridge Road, Walkeshwar, 'D' ward, Mumbai. The PP has submitted CRZ map in 1:4000 scale dated 01.12.2016 prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per report of IRS Chennai,

 The project site CTS No. 1/267 of Malbar Hill Division, Ridge Road, Walkeshwar, Mumbai is does not fall within 100m from HTL of Backbay & 500m from HTL of Arabian Sea as per CRZ Notification, 2011 as well as approved CZMP.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that plot bearing CTS No. 1/267 of Malbar Hill Division, Ridge Road, Walkeshwar, 'D' ward, Mumbai is situated beyond CRZ area i.e. beyond 100 m CRZ setback from the HTL of the backbay as per approved CZMP and as per provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011.

Item No.62:

Proposed redevelopment on plot bearing C. S. No. 2/358 & 2A/358 of Malbar Hill Division, situated at Lady Laxmibai Jagmohandas Marg & L. D. Ruparel Marg, Mumbai by M/s Topvalue Real Estate Development Limited

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is redevelopment on plot bearing CS no. 2/358 and 2A/358 of Malabar Hill Division, situated at Lady Laxmibai Jagmohandas marg (Nepean Sea Road) and L.D. Ruparel Marg, Mumbai, under DC Reg no. 33(7) of the DCR 1991, in accordance with para 8.v(1)(c) of the CRZ Notification, 2011. The existing building is old cess A category residential building prior to 1940. The proposed building comprises of Basement + Lower Ground floor + Upper ground floor+ 1s to 5^{th} Parking floors + service floor + 7^{th} to 20^{th} part upper residential floors with building height of 68.40 m. The plot under reference falls in CRZ II area and situated on landward side of existing Nepean Sea road. The PP has

Member Secretary

Page 60 of 91

ndirman

submitted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale prepared by the IRS, Chennai which indicates that the plot is situated in CRZ II area. Total plot area is 1172.71 Sqm, proposed FSI is 3 + Fungible FSI. IOD dated 3.4.2017 has been granted by the MCGM.

During the meeting, the PP need to submit the public hearing report, as per the para 8. v. 1. (c) of the CRZ Notification, 2011.

The Authority, subject to submission of public hearing report, decided to recommend the proposal to concerned planning Authority from CRZ point of view subject strict compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed redevelopment should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. Local body to ensure that proposed construction is as per DCR prevailing as on the date on which the project is granted approval by the competent Authority.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.63:

Proposed underground water tank for firefighting purpose as per requirement of fire office in the open space of existing building known as "Shahnaz" on property bearing C. S. no. 231 of Malbar Hill Division, Nepean Sea Road, Mumbai by M/s Nepean Sea Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for underground tank for fire fighting purpose as per requirement of fire office in the open space of existing building known as "Shahnaz" on property bearing CS No. 231 of Malabar Hill Division, Nepean Sea Road, Mumbai. The plot is situated in Residential zone as per 1967 DP as well as revised 1991 DP. The existing user of the building is Residential.

The plot under reference falls within CRZ II area and situated on seaward side of existing lady Jagmohandas marg (Nepeans Sea Road). The proposed work under reference is of miscellaneous in nature and involved no FSI concept.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

Member Secretary

Page 61 of 91

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.64:

Proposed construction of residential building on plot bearing C. S. No. 435, village Dahanu, Tal. Dahanu, Dist. Palghar by Shri. Prakash Bhagchand Karnavat

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the proposal is for construction of residential building comprises of ground + first floor on plot bearing C. S. No. 435, village Dahanu, Tal. Dahanu, Dist. Palghar. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Dahanu, the plot under reference is falls in residential zone. Total plot area \sim 420.60 sqm and Proposed total BUA \sim 225.6sqm

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.65:

Proposed first floor on existing ground floor on plot bearing S. No. 37, H. No. 2, CTS No. 795 (pt) of mauje Zadgaon, Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri by Shri. Baban Anna Bhingare & other

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of first floor on existing floor on plot bearing S. No. 37, H. No. 2, C. S. No. 795 (pt) of mauje Zadgaon, Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Ratnagiri, the plot under reference is falls in residential zone. Total plot area – 180.00sqm,

Member Secretary

Page 62 of 91

Existing BUA of ground floor - 87.26sqm and Proposed BUA of first floor - 39.00sqm

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

<u>Item No.66:</u>

Proposed residential building on plot bearing S. No. 112, H. No. 1, CTS No. 1281 (pt) of mauje Zadgaon, Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri by Shri. Maheshwar Ashok Gurav

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of residential building comprises of ground floor + first floor on plot bearing S. No. 112, H. No. 1. CTS No. 1281 (pt) of mauje Zadgaon, Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Ratnagiri, the plot under reference is falls in residential zone. Total plot area – 2330.00sqm and Permissible FSI – 1.00

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.67:

CRZ status of project site bearing CTS No. 1017, village Pahadi Goregaon, Tal. Borivali, Dist. Mumbai by M/s Jan Kalyan Co-op. Housing Society Ltd.

Member Secretary

Page 63 of 91

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The PP is seeking the CRZ status of project site bearing CTS No. 1017 of village Pahadi Goregaon, Tal. Borivali, Mumbai. The PP has submitted CRZ map in 1:4000 scale & report dated 12.1.2015 prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per report of IRS Chennai,

- There is change in HTL as demarcated by IRS as per 2011 CRZ Notification, than in HTL as shown in Approved CZMP 1991
- Based on the Request from client the 1991 approved HTL with 150 mts and 100 mts CRZ belt in one plate and 2011 draft HTL showing the 100 mts in another plat are shown in the map.
- The site falls partly inside the CRZ area as per 1991 CZMP and the plot area affected by 100 m buffer (as per CRZ 2011 Notification) is about 34.3% and the 150m buffer (as per approved CZMP and 1991 CRZ notification) is about 75.8%. There are roads existed near to the project site in the year 1991. As per the 2011 draft CRZ the site falls outside the 100m buffer CRZ II area. The 100m buffer for creek is the maximum buffer as prescribed by CRZ 2011 notification for creeks (CRZ Notification 2011 S. O. 19 (E)) There are mangroves present in the vicinity. The project site falls outside the 50m mangroves buffer.

The Authority noted that HTL as demarcated by IRS as per CRZ Notification, 2011 differs from HTL of the approved CZMP. The Authority decided to adopt the stringent criteria. The HTL of the approved CZMP is stringent than HTL demarcated by IRS, Chennai. As per the approved CZMP, the site under reference is situated partly within 100m CRZ setback area from the HTL of the creek.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that 34.3% of the project site bearing CTS No. 1017, village Pahadi Goregaon, Tal. Borivali, Dist. Mumbai is situated within 100 m CRZ setback area from the HTL of the creek, as per approved CZMP.

<u>Item No.68:</u> Proposed addition / alteration to existing bungalow on plot bearing CTS No. 922 at Vasanta Theosophical Co. Op. Hsg. Ltd, Juhu Tara Road, Juhu, Mumbai by Arch. Barai Architect

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for additional / alteration to existing bungalow on plot bearing CTS

Member Secretary

Page 64 of 91

no. 922 at Vasantha Theosophical Society CHS, Juhu Tara Road, Juhu, Mumbai, as per DCR 1967. The existing bungalow comprises o Ground + 1st floor on plot under reference. Proposed construction involves basement + ground + 1st floor on plot under reference. Area of project site: 503.30 Sqm. The plot under reference is in CRZ II area and situated on seaward side of the existing road or structures. As per DP remarks, the plot falls under Residential Zone.

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. Construction debris should not be dumped in the CRZ area.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.69: Proposed LNG terminal (Introduction of 6 MTPA FSRU in early production phase) at JSW Jaigarh Port by M/s H Energy Gateway Pvt. Ltd

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. Details of the proposal are as follows:

- H-Energy Gateway Private Ltd is in the process of building an 8 MMTPA LNG regasification terminal located at Jaigarh port, Ratnagiri approximately 330 km south of Mumbai on the West coast of Maharashtra, Mumbai.
- As a part of this project, an FSRU is envisaged to be moored at the planned LNG berth.
- The proposed FSRU facility shall use open loop regasification model. It
 takes warm sea water through the FSRU's sea chests. The warm seawater
 is used as a heat source and passed through the LNG vaporizers, causing
 the vaporization of the LNG.
- The PP has submitted the mathematical modelling to study dispersion of cold water discharge from FSRU.

The PP has submitted the Rapid EIA/ EMP for the project. The brief of the said report is as follows:

Member Secretary

Page 65 of 91

- The breakwater of the port has been constructed and no additional stone for the breakwater would be necessary. Therefore, the quarry material would be basically for construction of the berths and additional road facilities covered under this expansion. Therefore, the quantity of material is likely to be small.
- The potential environmental effects of dredging can be categorized as impacts due to dredging process itself and those due to disposal of the dredged material. During the dredging process, adverse impacts are anticipated on account of excavation of sediments at the bed, loss of material during transport to the surface, overflow from the dredger whilst loading and loss of material from the dredger and / or pipelines during transport.
- When dredging and disposing of non-contaminated sediments, the key impacts are the increase in suspended sediments and turbidity levels. Any dredging method releases suspended sediments into the water column, during excavation itself and during the flow of sediments from hoppers and barges.
- As a result of dredging impacts on marine ecology are anticipated. In the areas to be dredged, the existing marine life would be affected, however, re-colonized in short duration after cessation of the dredging activities.
- The high turbidity due to heavy suspended solid load during dredging or disposal of dredged materials results in clogging of gills of fishes thereby causing asphyxiation.
- Port area would require lot of ancillary developments like shops, restaurant, repair shops etc. in and around the port area. This will lead to conversation of barrent land into commercial use. In some areas, even agricultural land could also be diverted by the locals to avail greater economic opportunities presented as a result of the port development.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal to MoEF, New Delhi from CRZ point of view subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed redevelopment should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. Environment Management Plan should be implemented effectively during construction and operation phase of the project.

Member Secretary

Page 66 of 91

- 3. PP to ensure that best industrial practices should be followed for fire safety measures and for conservation of coastal environment
- 4. PP to ensure oil spill contingency plan is formulated and implemented.
- 5. PP to ensure environmentally friendly techniques for the dredging work.
- 6. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

Item No.70:

Proposed construction of residential building on plot bearing S. No. 29A/1, plot no. 159, Shree bag no. 2 at mauje Alibag, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad by Shri. Tukaram Krushna Gurav

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of residential building comprises of stilt + 2 upper floors on plot bearing 5. No. 29A/1, plot no. 159, Shree bag no. 2 at mauje Alibag, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Alibag, the plot under reference is falls in residential zone. Total plot area – 75.00sqm and Proposed total BUA – 74.77sqm

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. Construction debris should not be dumped in the CRZ area.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.71:

Proposed resort project at plot bearing S. No. 53/1, 55/1 at Varacha Patta, Tal. Guhagar, Dist. Ratnagiri by Shri. Suhas M. Ponkshe & Ors

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for proposed residential building at plot bearing 5. No. 53/1, 55/1 at Varacha Patta, Tal. Guhagar, Dist. Ratnagiri. Guhagar Nagar Panchayat mentioned that, the plot under reference falls within 500m from Seafront and falls in CRZ-III area. Total plot area - 4070 sqm

Member Secretary

Page 67 of 91

During the meeting, the Authority decided to submit the proposal as per the guidelines stipulated in Annexure IIII of CRZ Notification, 2011 regarding development of hotel/ resort in CRZ area. PP to further submit the waste management measures proposed in the project.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to defer the matter for submission for compliance as stated above.

<u>Item No.72:</u> Proposed construction of plot bearing S. No. 274A1A, H. No. 1/10 B, C. S. No. 305 at mauje Zadgaon, Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri by Shri. Nuruddin Husain Nawade

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of residential building comprises of ground floor + first floor on plot bearing S. No. 274A1A, H. No. 1/10 B, C. S. No. 305 at mauje Zadgaon, Tal. & Dist. Ratnagiri. The plot under reference falls in CRZ-II area & is situated on landward side of existing road prior 1991. As per the Development plan of Ratnagiri, the plot under reference is falls in residential zone. Total plot area - 902.00sqm and proposed total BUA - 354.29sqm

The Authority after deliberation decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. Construction debris should not be dumped in the CRZ area.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.73:

Permission to supply of electricity from Reliance Infra. Ltd. at - 1) Shop no. 03, shree sai dham, nr. Gorai Bridge, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marg, Charkop, Kandivali (W), Mumbai by Sri. Patiram Santanu Pal and 2) Gulli No. 4, Ganpat patil nagar, Link Road, Opp. S. V P College, I C Colony, Borivali (W), Mumbai by Sou. Mangala Sadashiv Helaskar

Member Secretary

Page 68 of 91

The Project proponent was absent for the meeting. Hence, the matter was deferred.

<u>Item No.74:</u> Proposed redevelopment on plot bearing C. S. No. 233 of Malbar - Cumbala Hill Div., Neapean Sea Road, D ward, Mumbai by M/s Spaceage Consultants

The Project proponent was absent for the meeting. Hence, the matter was deferred.

<u>Item No.75:</u> Proposed Holiday Home on land bearing Gat No. 19/1 at Veshvi, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad by M/s Seawood Agro Realtors

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the proposal is for development of Holiday Home on land bearing Gat No. 19/1 at Veshvi, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad. The Authority further noted the earlier decision of the Authority taken in tis 108^{th} and 114^{th} meetings in the matter.

The PP has submitted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale indicating approved HTL and setback area prepared by IRS, Chennai. As per the said CRZ map, considering 100 m CRZ setback area from the creek, the plot under reference partly falls in mangrove buffer zone, CRZ-III and non CRZ area as per CRZ Notification, 2011. The PP presented that the construction is proposed beyond CRZ area i.e. beyond 100 m CRZ setback area.

The Authority further noted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale of the IRS, Chennai and observed that HTL of the approved CZMP differs from the HTL demarcated by IRS as per the CRZ Notification, 2011. The HTL demarcated by IRS as per the CRZ Notification, 2011 is stringent and as per which, the site under reference partly falls in CRZ area i.e. within 100 m from the HTL of the creek. Area of plot admeasuring 4954 sqm falls outside CRZ area.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to grant the NoC from CRZ point of view for the proposed construction of Holiday Home on land bearing Gat No. 19/1 at Veshvi, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad in Non CRZ area, i.e. beyond 100

Member Secretary

Page 69 of 91

m CRZ setback area from the Creek. Local body to ensure no construction is allowed within 100 m CRZ setback area from the Creek.

Item No.76: Proposed development on plot bearing CTS No. 16, 16 (pt), 16/7, 16/10 & 16/20 of village Aakse at Malad (W), Mumbai by M/s Static Sales Agency Pvt. Ltd.

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for development on plot bearing CTS No. 16, 16 (pt), 16/7, 16/10 & 16/20 of village Aakse at Malad (W), Mumbai. Proposed residential building comprising Stilt for Stack parking + $1^{\rm st}$ to $2^{\rm nd}$ podium for parking + $1^{\rm st}$ to $7^{\rm th}$ upper floors for residential use in lieu of plot potential by claiming staircase + lift + lift wells area of free of FSI. The area of total plot is 9341.60 sqm and permissible FSI is 1. MCGM has granted various concessions as per DCR 1967 and IOD dated 2.6.2016 has been granted.

The Authority noted that as per approved CZMP of Mumbai, the plot under reference situated within 500m from HTL and partly falls in CRZ-II (Aksa Gaothan area) and partly in CRZ-III area.

The further noted the remarks of the MCGM which states that the plot under reference is situated on seaward side of existing Madh - Malad road and at the same time it is on the landward side of the existing structures which appears to be authorized.

The Authority noted the decision taken in 115^{th} meeting wherein the Authority directed PP to submit the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale

Accordingly, the PP vide letter dated 25.4.2017 has submitted CRZ map in 1:4000 scale & report dated 24.4.2017 prepared by IRS Chennai. As per report of IRS, Chennai

 The proposed building in CTZ No. 16, 16/7, 16/10 and 16/20 of Aakse village, P Ward falls within 500 m from HTL of Arabian sea s per GPS Survey. The Proposed building also falls within 500 m from HTL of Arabian sea as per approved CZMP.

Member Secretary

Page 70 of 91

 The HTL demarcated by IRS, Chennai as per GPS survey corresponds to HTL indicted in approved CZMP subject to generalization and scale change.

The PP presented that the proposed construction is in CRZ II area only and situated on land ward side of the existing structures. The Authority noted that the PP to submit the authorization details of the said structures.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concerned planning authority subject to submission of authorization details of the said structure and compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. Local body to ensure that proposed construction is on landward side of the existing road or existing authorized structure.
- 3. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.77:

Proposed construction of anti-sea erosion bunds at 1) Citizen Apts to Hinduja Hospital, Mahim, 2) Haji Ali Dargah, 3) Priyadarshni Park, Malbar, 4) Kalikamata to Bangunga, Walkeshwar, 5) Geeta Nagar Breakwaters, Colaba, 6) Radio Club to Apollo Bundar in Mumbai City by Harbour Sub Division, Mumbai

Officials of Harbour Division, Alibuag presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the proposal is for construction of anti-sea erosion bunds at 1) Citizen Apts to Hinduja Hospital, Mahim, 2) Haji Ali Dargah, 3) Priyadarshni Park, Malbar, 4) Kalikamata to Bangunga, Walkeshwar, 5) Geeta Nagar Breakwaters, Colaba, 6) Radio Club to Apollo Bundar in Mumbai CityThe proposal was considered in 116th meeting of MCZMA held on 22.3.2017. The site under reference falls in CRZ I area. Length of proposed bund:

Location	Length
Citizen Apts to Hinduja Hospital, Mahim	200 m
Haji Ali Dargah	500 m

Member Secretary

Page 71 of 91

Chairman Chairman

Priyadarshni Park, Malbar	200 m
Kalikamata to Bangunga, Walkeshwar	500 m
Geeta Nagar Breakwaters, Colaba	500 m
Radio Club to Apollo Bundar in Mumbai City	500 m

The PP has submitted the Rapid EIA report for the project. The Authority noted that the MCGM has proposed the coastal road along the Mumbai seafront starting from Nariman point to Kandiwali. Coastal road will involve the reclamation at certain places. The Authority suggested MMB to check the alignment of Coastal road, in order to examine the feasibility and necessity of proposed anti-sea erosion bund at 6 locations of Mumbai seafront.

The Authority noted the reply of the PWD, which states that, as the coastal road project is totally related to MCGM and not to MMB. After joint verification of alignment of coastal road on map, it is observed that, only Haji Ali site is to be appears in the proposed reclamation of coastal road and other five sites are away from proposed alignment of coastal road.

The Authority deliberated the proposal and noted that anti-sea erosion bund at sites 1) Citizen Apts to Hinduja Hospital, Mahim of 200 m length 2) Kalikamata to Bangunga, Walkeshwar of 500 m length and 3) Radio Club to Apollo Bundar of 500 m length can be allowed, considering the coastal geomorphology of the area and probable coastal hazards at the site.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to grant the CRZ recommendation to the project at above mentioned 3 sites to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activity should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. Construction debris should not be dumped in the beach area.
- 3. Suggestions/ objections of the local residents of the stretch may be considered, if required, before starting the construction work of bund.
- 4. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

<u>Item No.78:</u>
Commission an Electric Substation and excavate land for laying electric services cable at S. No. 1824, 212, 798, 611

Member Secretary

Page 72 of 91

and Other plots in Gorai village by M/s. Reliance Infrastructure Ltd

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for Electric Substation and excavates land for laying electric services cable at 5. No. 1824, 212, 798, 611 and other plots in Gorai village by M/s. Reliance Infrastructure Ltd. As per the approved CZMP, the site under reference is situated in CRZ II area. The PP presented that electric substation is required facility for the local inhabitants.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concern planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed redevelopment should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. PP to ensure that the site is restored after completion of laying of cable.
- 3. Dumping of the excavated material in CRZ area is not allowed.
- 4. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

<u>Item No.79:</u> Proposed construction of Anti Sea Erosion Bund at Banda Mithgudi, Tal. Sawantwadi, Dist. Sindhudurg by PWD

Officials of PWD presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of Anti Sea Erosion Bund at Banda Mithgudi, Tal. Sawantwadi, Dist. Sindhudurg by PWD. Banda Mithgudi Village is situated on the Terekhol River. The site falls in CRZ I area.

The PWD proposes to construct Anti-Sea Erosion bunds by excavating the sea bed up to required levels as per the cross section and laying Geofabric filter over excavated surface. Total Length of the retaining wall: 96.00 mt

The Authority observed that the proposed anti-sea erosion bund would change of the natural course of the terekhol river, which would further impact the existing coastal ecosystem present in the area. The Harbour division need to get the study done form expert agency regarding the hydrodynamics of coastal water body covering the impact of the anti-sea erosion bund on flow of

Member Secretary

Page 73 of 91

the coastal water body. Further, the PP need to submit the No $\mathcal C$ from the Water resource department.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to defer the proposal for submission of the compliance as stated above.

Item No.80:

Proposed STP plant and underground drainage work phase II at Bhiwandi Nizampur city by Bhiwandi - Nizampur Municipal Corporation

The project proponent was absent for the meeting. Hence, the matter was deferred.

Item No.81:

CRZ status of plot bearing F P No. 648, TPS IV, Mahim Division, G/N ward, Mahim, Mumbai by M/s Sugee Twelve Developers LLP

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the applicant is seeking CRZ status of plot bearing FPNo. 648, TPSIV, Mahim Division, G/N ward, Mahim, Mumbai

The Authority noted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale and report of the IRS which is as follows:

- The HTL demarcated by the IRS, Chennai corresponds to the HTL shown in the approved CZMP, 1991 subject to the generalization error caused by the variation in the scale of mapping.
- CRZ shall be applied for the land / site within the 100m buffer zone from HTL for Bay/Creek as per Para (ii) of CRZ Notification, 2011 of MoEF vide S. O. 19 (E), dated 06.01.2011. In this case, the project site containing F. P. No. 648 pf TPS IV of Mahim Division, Mumbai does not fall within the 100m buffer from HTL for Mahim Bay. It is also, stated that the above said site does not fall within the 100m as per approved CZMP 1991. The distance from HTL for Mahim Bay to the nearest corner to the project site (F. P. No. 648 of TPS IV of Mahim Division) is 239m as per CRZ 2011 and also as per approved CZMP, the distance from HTL for Mahim Bay to the nearest corner to the project site (F. P. No. 648 of TPS IV of Mahim Division) is 248m.

Member Secretary

Page 74 of 91

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that plot bearing F P No. 648, TPS IV, Mahim Division, G/N ward, Mahim, Mumbai is situated beyond 100 m CRZ setback area from the HTL of the Mahim Bay and provision of CRZ Notification, 2011.

Item No.82:

Reclassification of plot bearing CTS No. 36 (A) & 36 (B) of village Goregaon at S. V. Road, Goregaon (W), Mumbai by M/s Acme Metal Industrial Pvt. Ltd

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the PP has claimed that the plot bearing CTS No. 36 (A) & 36 (B) of village Goregaon at S. V. Road, Goregaon (W), Mumbai is situated outside CRZ area.

The PP presented that the plot is situated near the creeklet emanating from the main malad creek. The PP claimed that the said stretch of the creeklet is not tidally influenced, which has salinity concentration less than 5 ppt. The PP further submitted that the Ministry of Environment, Forest and climate change, new Delhi has deleted certain plots in the vicinity of the PP's plot from the CRZ purview.

The Authority noted that as per approved CZMP, the CRZ is applicable to said stretch of the creeklet near the plot. However, as per the new draft CZMP under the said stretch of the creek is not in CRZ area. However, the map is not yet approved by the MoEF, new Delhi.

After deliberation, the Authority decided that the PP need to submit the distance of the plot from the HTL of the creeklet. The PP may submit the remarks of the MCGM stating the distance of the plot under reference from the HTL of the approved CZMP of Mumbai.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to defer the matter for the submission of the compliance as stated above.

<u>Item No.83:</u> Proposed advertisement hoardings in the Collectors land on plot bearing CTS No. 629 and 8 at Parighkhari, near

Member Secretary

Page 75 of 91

Nandadeep Garden, Bandra, Mumbai by M/s Options Advertising Company

The project proponent was absent for the meeting. Hence, the matter was deferred.

<u>Item No.84:</u> Proposed construction of protection wall for Mahul Creek / Bakti Park Nalla near Anik Depot, Mumbai by MCGM

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for construction of protection wall with concrete grade for Mahul Creek / Bakti Park Nalla near Anik Depot, Mumbai. Presently there are no mangroves at the project site and no need to cut the mangroves. Mangroves are located at far away from proposed Protection wall. Pipes of 900mm will be provided on every 100 m interval from creek up to Mangroves to provide direct water to the mangroves.

The Authority noted that the construction of protection wall would train the creek affecting the natural flow of the creek water. The Authority felt that there is no need to construct protection wall along the creek stretch.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to reject the proposal from CRZ point of view.

<u>Item No.85:</u> Proposed bunkering facilities at Jawahar Dweep (Butcher Island) at Mumbai by M/s HPCL

The project proponent was absent. Hence, the matter was deferred.

<u>Item No.86:</u> Proposed BKC casting yard and ready mix concrete plant at plot no. RG -23 (pt) bearing CTS No. 4207 (pt) in G block, BKC, Mumbai by MMRCL

Officials from the MMRCL presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for setting up of casting yard, RMC plant and borewell for the METRO Line III Project of MMRCL (Colaba - Bandra - SEEPZ). Details of the project activities are as follows:

Member Secretary

Page 76 of 91

Sr. No.	Project activities	CRZ status	Area in Sqm.	Remarks
1	Casting Yard and RMC plant, office, canteen, labour camp and allied activities including boiler/ heater.	approved CZMP, site is	26,233 sq.m	Located on landward side of existing road as shown in approved CZMP
2	Portion of batching plant, wheel washing facility, workshop area (partly), weighbridge.	Non CRZ	3,959 <i>s</i> q.m	
	Total area		30,192 sq.m	

The project has Ready mix cement plant of 70 cum/hr capacity. Tunnel segments 300 no. per month. Wastewater generation from proposed project is 47.14 KLD from plant process and domestic use. The Authority further noted the clarification of the UDD, GoM stating Metro facilities as Civic Amenities.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the project from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activities should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. MMRCL to ensure that casting yard and RMC plant is of temporary nature.
- 3. MMRCL to ensure wastewater generated from the activities shall not be discharged into the creek.

Member Secretary

Page 77 of 91

Haves hairman

- 4. Construction debris should not be dumped in the CRZ area.
- 5. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

<u>Item No.87:</u>
Proposed construction of Bridge on Karli Creek at Sonavade,
Tal. Kudal, Dist. Sindhudurg by Maharashtra Rurul Road
Development Association

The project proponent was absent. Hence, the matter was deferred.

<u>Item No.88:</u> Proposed development of Metro Line - 5 from Thane - Bhiwandi-Kalyan by MMRDA

Officials from the MMRDA presented the proposal before the Authority, which is as follows:

- 1. As a part of Mumbai Metro Plan, GoM has proposed to implement Metro Line 5 Project from Thane-Bhiwandi-Kalyan by the MMRDA.
- 2. Total length of the corridor is 24.9 kms. having 17 stations which will be entirely elevated and supported on 1.80 m. diameter piers located approx. 25 m. interval.
- 3. Integration Facility-
 - Kapurbawadi (Metro Line-4)
 - Kalyan station (Suburban Rail)
- 4. Metro alignment will be crossing water bodies at 2 locations i.e. at Kasheli Bridge, Thane and at Durgadi Fort, Kalyan which falls in CRZ-I & III.
- 5. Metro car depot is proposed at Kon Gaon MIDC which is outside CRZ area.
- 6. Details of the proposed activities are as follows:

Sr.	Location	CRZ
1	At Kasheli bridge	824 m passing through CRZ I area.
	bridge	356 m passing through in mangrove and its 50 m buffer zone.
		Hence, total length falling in CRZ I is 1180 m.

Member Secretary

Page 78 of 91

		1450 m alignment passes through CRZ III area.
		680 m alignment passes across river.
		Total no. of piers in CRZ area- 132
2	At durgadi	20 m alignment passes through CRZ I area
	fort	
		250 m alignment passes through CRZ III area.
		220
		320 m alignment passes across river.
		Total piers in CRZ area - 24

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the project from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activities should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. Environment Management Plan should be implemented effectively during construction and operation phase of the project.
- 3. Five times the number of mangroves destroyed/cut during the construction process should be replanted in consultation with Mangrove Cell, Mumbai.
- 4. Project proponent should obtain prior Hon'ble High Court permission, since mangroves will be affected due to project.
- 5. Construction debris should not be dumped in the CRZ area.
- 6. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

<u>Item No.89:</u> Proposed development of Metro Line - 6 from Swami Samarth Nagar - JVLR - SEEPZ - Kanjurmarg - Vikroli (EEH) by MMRDA

Officials from the MMRDA presented the proposal before the Authority, which is as follows:

Member Secretary

Page 79 of 91

- As a part of Mumbai Metro Plan GoM has proposed to implement Metro Line - 6 Project {Swamy Samarth Nagar-JVLR-SEEPZ-Kanjurmarg-Vikhroli (EEH)}
- 2. Total length of the corridor is 14.47 kms. having 13 stations which will be entirely elevated and supported on 1.80 m. diameter piers located approx. 25 m. interval.
- 3. Interchange Facility-
 - Adarsh Nagar (Metro line-2A)
 - SEEPZ Village (Metro Line-3)
 - Kanjur marg (Metro Line -4)
 - JVLR (Metro Line-7)
- 4. The Depot for line 6 will be at Kanjur marg.
- 5. Most of the alignment is passing along the median of existing roads, however at certain locations the alignment deviates at curvature due to presence of bridges, flyovers, feasibility etc.
- 6. Metro alignment will be crossing water body near Nehru Nagar where JVLR connects EEH which falls in CRZ-I & II.
- 7. Metro car depot is proposed at Kanjur marg. Out of 15.02 Ha total area, about 1.0 Ha. falls in CRZ-II.
- 8. Details of the activity are as follows:

Sr. No.	Metro Activity	CRZ category	CRZ affected areas = 'x' (in meter/Ha.)	affected 'x'/25	No of piers may be partly affected by Mangroves or falling within 50 m buffer zone of Mangroves
1	Near Nehru Nagar	I	670 m length	27	05
	where JVLR connects EEH	II	430 m length	18	

Member Secretary

Page 80 of 91

Chairman Chairman

	Total no.	of piers	affected	by	45	
2	Kanjur marg car shed	II	1.00 area	Ha.	Metro facility	

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the project from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activities should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. Environment Management Plan should be implemented effectively during construction and operation phase of the project.
- 3. Five times the number of mangroves destroyed/cut during the construction process should be replanted in consultation with Mangrove Cell, Mumbai.
- 4. Project proponent should obtain prior Hon'ble High Court permission, since mangroves will be affected due to project.
- 5. Construction debris should not be dumped in the CRZ area.
- 6. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

Item No.90:

Proposed storage of tunnel segment, construction machinery and equipment at BKC RG plot bearing CTS No. 629 (pt) of Bandra € for the Metro Line 3 project by MMRCL

Officials of the MMRCL presented the proposal before the Authority, which is as follows:

- 1. The proposal is for storage of tunnel segment, construction machinery and equipment at BKC RG plot bearing CTS No. 629 (pt) of Bandra (E) for the Metro Line 3 project
- CRZ proposal of RG plot (2513 sq.m) at BKC bearing No.629 (part) of Bandra East village in H/East ward for the Metro Line III Project of MMRCL was discussed in 113th meeting of MCZMA held on 8th - 11th August, 2016.

Member Secretary

Page 81 of 91

- 3. MCZMA requested to planning Authority (MCGM) to submit the superimposition of the plot area 2513 sq.m (RG plot at BKC) on approved CZMP of Maharashtra of the year 1996 in 1:4000 scale .
- 4. MCGM being planning authority for the said area has submitted superimposed image on approved CZMP of Maharashtra in 1:4000 scale along with DP remarks and DP sheets.
- 5. Details of the activities are as follows:

Sr.	Project activities	CRZ status	Area in Sqm.	Remarks
No. 1	Storage of, construction machinery / equipment and for temporary space to facilitate construction.	II and partly	629 sq.m	Located on landward side
2	Storage of, construction machinery / equipment and for temporary space to facilitate construction.	Non CRZ	1844 <i>s</i> q.m	
	Total area		2513 sq.m	144.0

The Authority further noted the clarification of the UDD, GoM stating Metro facilities as Civic Amenities.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the project from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activities should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. MMRCL to ensure that casting yard and RMC plant is of temporary nature.
- 3. Construction debris should not be dumped in the CRZ area.
- 4. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

Member Secretary

Page 82 of 91

Item No.91:

Proposed construction of Storm Water Drainage (SWD) at Kamraj Nagar & Rambai Nagar at N ward and reconstruction improvement of SWD in N ward, Ghatkoper, Mumbai by MCGM

Officials from of the MCGM presented the proposal before the Authority. The MCGM has proposed construction of Storm Water Drainage (SWD) at Kamraj Nagar & Rambai Nagar at N ward and reconstruction improvement of SWD in N ward, Ghatkoper, Mumbai. The project will improve storm water drainage system of the area and also safeguard the nalla from growing hutments.

The length, average height above ground level and width of the proposed retaining wall will be 461 m, 1 m, and 4.55 m respectively. Further, total length of the proposed adjoining service road on the side of the nalla will be 461 m and width 5 m. Proposed retaining wall is within CRZ I area (140 m) and in CRZ II area (320 m). The MCGM officials presented that no mangroves will be cut/destroyed for the retaining wall.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the project from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activities should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. Local body to ensure no mangroves should be cut/ destroyed for the construction of retaining wall and service road.
- 3. Prior High Court permission shall be obtained, if the project activities are within 50 m mangroves buffer zone.
- 4. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

Item No.92:

Proposed alteration / repairs to existing residential bldg on plot no. 1A bearing S. No. 25 C, CTS No. 990, 990/1 & 990/2 of village Juhu situated at Juhu Tara Road, Vileparle (W), Mumbai by M/s Royal Rainbow Co. op premises Society Lmt.

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The Authority noted that the proposal is for alteration / repairs to existing residential bldg. on plot no. 1A bearing S. No. 25 C, CTS No. 990, 990/1 & 990/2

Member Secretary

Page 83 of 91

of village Juhu situated at Juhu Tara Road, Vileparle (W), Mumbai by M/s Royal Rainbow Co.op premises Society Lmt.

The site under reference is in CRZ-II and is situated on the seaward side of the existing Juhu Tara Road and lanward side of existing authorised buildings existing prior to 19/02/1991.

There exists an authorized existing building on this plot having occupation permission issued way back in 1979 with residential user and shops on ground floor.

The proposal is now for additions/ alterations to existing residential building comprising of Ground floor for shops + 1st to 3rd upper floors for Residential user in lieu of plot and by claiming area of staircase, lift & lift pit area free of FSI.

- Architect has proposed Ground (pt.) & Stilt (pt.) thereby reducing the area of shop no.4 as shown in the plans.
- Architect has proposed Foyer adm. $2.03m \times 1.00m$ from 1^{st} to 3^{rd} floor and area of the same is counted in F.S.I.,
- Area of entrance lobby in front of staircase and lift is counted in F.S.I.

The proposal is as per D.C.R. 1967 for F.S.I. 1.00. The proposal has received the approval by Hon. MC, on 21.04.2017.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to grant CRZ recommendation from CRZ point of view to concern planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. The Local Body to ensure that FSI for the proposed construction is as per the town and country planning regulation existing as on 19.2.1991 before issuing commencement certificate to the project
- 2. All other required permission from different statutory authorities should be obtained.

Item No.93:

Proposed approach road, laying of water pipeline & electric cable on plot bearing S. No. 41, CTS No. 3 (pt), 6 & 7, Sector No. 8 of village Charkop, Tal. Borivali, Mumbai by M/s Charkop Daryasagar Machhimar Seva Sangh

Membersecretary

Page 84 of 91

The project proponent was absent. Hence, the matter was deferred.

Item No.94: Proposed development of Chowpatty near Creek from

Mumbra Bypass to Kharegaon Toll Naka by TMC

The project proponent was absent. Hence, the matter was deferred.

<u>Item No.95:</u> Proposed Holiday Resort on plot bearing Gut No. 32, 33 & 34 of mauje Devghar, Tal. Shriwardhan, Dist. Raigad by MTDC

The project proponent was absent. Hence, the matter was deferred.

<u>Item No.96:</u> Proposed pipe line from the jetty to the project site of total

length approx. 2200 m at Dighi Port, Dist. Raigad by M/s

Varitas Polychem Private Limited

The Authority noted that the proposal is for pipe line from the jetty to the project site of total length approx. 2200 m at Dighi Port, Dist. Raigad by M/s Varitas Polychem Private Limited.

The company has planning to set up pipelines from the jetty to the project site of total length approx. RM 2200 at Dighi port for transfer of Chemicals/gas. The project site is located in CRZ III area, as per the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale prepared by the IRS, Chennai. There are total 6 pipelines of size 12inch, 8 inch (3 pipelines) and 2 inch (2 pipelines) are proposed which would carry chemicals such as Bitumen, LPG, VCM, CA and nitrogen.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to MoEF, New Delhi subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activities should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. PP to undertaken Environment Management Plan for laying of pipelines
- 3. PP to undertake all safety measures during construction and operation phase of the pipelines
- 4. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

Member Secretary

Page 85 of 91

Item No.97:

Advertisement hoarding on MSRDC land at Mahim Causeway Road, Mumbai by M/s Roshan Publicity

The project proponent was absent. Hence, the matter was deferred.

Item No.98:

Proposed construction of part Station Box, entry / exit, ventilation shaft and temporary space at Vidhan Bhawan for Mumbai Metro Line 3 project by MMRCL

Officials of the MMRCL presented the proposal before the Authority, as per which:

- 1. The proposal is for construction of part station box & entry/exit, ventilation shaft and temporary area to facilitate construction work of Vidhan Bhavan station
- 2. The MCZMA considered proposal of Vidhan Bhavan station and allied activities (CRZ III) in its 114th meeting. The Authority after deliberation recommended the proposal to SEIAA from CRZ point of view as a civic amenity being a permissible activity..
- 3. The State level Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) considered the proposal in its 108th meeting and issued CRZ Clearance for underground station and allied activities dated 21st April 2017 under CRZ Notification, 2011.
- 4. The CRZ permission granted for Vidhan Bhavan station includes area of 18738 sqm. in CRZ III being RG/PG reservation.
- 5. The present application is for CRZ clearance in CRZ II & CRZ III admeasuring area of around 8611.69 sqm (remaining area).
- 6. Details of the proposed activity are as follows:

Sr. No.	Activities	CRZ Status	Area (Sqm)	Remarks
1	Part station box entry/exit, ventilation shaft, temporary space to facilitate construction activities		7404.42	The activities are on the landward side of existing authorized structure.
2	Part station box ventilation shaft	CRZ III	1207.27	Activities are covered as civic amenities

Member Secretary

Page 86 of 91

passages,	temporary		The state of the s
space to	facilitate		
construction	activities		

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the project from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed activities should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. Construction debris should not be dumped in the CRZ area.
- 3. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

Item No.99:

Proposed construction of temporary transit Camp on proposed S.R. Scheme on plot bearing CTS No. B-906, B/Part and 1152 Part of village B Ward, Taluka Bandra, Kadeshwari Marg, Bandra (W), Mumbai by M/s. Hare Krishna Developers

The project proponent presented the proposal before the Authority. The PP presented that the proposal is for construction of temporary transit Camp comprises of Ground + 5th upper floor + terrace for proposed S.R. Scheme on plot bearing CTS No. B-906, B/Part and 1152 Part of village B Ward, Taluka Bandra, Kadeshwari Marg, Bandra (W), Mumbai. Total plot area is 4910.49 Sqm.

The site is situated within 500 m from the Arabian sea and within 100 m from the Mahim Bay, as per approved CZMP. The plot is partly reserved for Sewage Purification Plant (SPP) & RG as per 1991 Development Plan and For RG as per 2034 Development Plan. The Plot is encumbered with 270 Nos. of Hutments. The LOI for the Slum Rehabilitation Scheme is granted.

The PP presented that said transit camp will be required for 5 years and STP having capacity 70 KLD is proposed to treat waste water. Solid waste generated will be handed over to waste collecting vehicle of MCGM.

The Authority noted that SRA should take note of the fact that the plot is partly reserved for Sewage Purification Plant (SPP) & RG as per 1991 Development Plan and For RG as per 2034 Development Plan, before planning SRA scheme on the said plot, as per the provisions of the CRZ Notification, 2011.

Member Secretary

Page 87 of 91

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concern planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed construction should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. This CRZ recommendation is for construction of temporary transit camp for 5 years.
- 3. PP to ensure the waste water and solid waste management during construction and operation phase of the project.
- 4. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

<u>Item No.100:</u> CRZ Status of plot bearing 5. No. 177/2 of village Tekali, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad by Mr. Jerxis K Vandrewala

The project proponent presented the matter before the Authority. The PP is seeking CRZ Status of plot bearing S. No. 177/2 of village Tekali, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad. The PP has submitted the CRZ map in 1:4000 scale and repot of the IRS, Chennai. As per the report of the IRS, Chennai:

- The HTL demarcated by the IRS, Chennai corresponds to the HTL shown in the approved CZMP 1991 as per SAC subject to the generalization error caused by the variation in the scale of mapping. It is also observed that there is a change in the HTL while comparing the CRZ 2011 with approved CZMP and it is mainly due to geomorphological changes occurred between 1991 and 2011.
- CRZ shall be applied for the land /site within the width/100m buffer zone from HTL for Basy/Creek as per Para (ii) of CRZ Notification 2011 of MoEF vide S. O. 19 (E), dated 06.01.2011. In this case, the project site (survey no. 177/2 of Tekali village of Maharashtra State) is conclusively situated beyond 100m from HTL (Creek) as per approved CZMP (SAC) as well as CRZ 2011. The distance from the HTL (Creek) to the nearest corner of the project site is 225m and 223m respectively as per CRZ 2011 and approved CZMP and also the distance from the HTL for Arabian Sea to the nearest corner of the project site is 645m and 623m respectively as per CRZ 2011 and approved CZMP.
- The project site does not fall within 50m buffer from Mangroves.

Member Secretary

Page 88 of 91

thairman

The Authority noted from the CRZ map that the site is fronting to Arabian sea and creek. The site situated beyond 500 m and 100 m from the Arabian sea and creek respectively.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to confirm that plot bearing S. No. 177/2 of village Tekali, Tal. Alibag, Dist. Raigad is situated beyond CRZ area i.e. beyond 500 m and 100 m from the Arabian Sea and Creek respectively.

Item No.101:

Permission to excavate land to lay cable, erect substation and establish distribution network system to provide electric connection to the occupants at CTZ No. 1374, Survey No. 120, Siddhrth Nagar, Behind Dhanalaxmi Apartment, SVP Nagar, Andheri (W), Mumbai by Reliance Infrastructure Lt

The project proponent presented the matter before the Authority. The PP presented that the proposal is for lay cable, erect substation and establish distribution network system to provide electric connection to the occupants at CTZ No. 1374, Survey No. 120, Siddhrth Nagar, Behind Dhanalaxmi Apartment, SVP Nagar, Andheri (W), Mumbai. As per the approved CZMP, the site under reference is situated in CRZ II area.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to concern planning Authority subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed redevelopment should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. PP to ensure that the site is restored after completion of laying of cable.
- 3. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

<u>Item No.102:</u> Clarification on the conditions in the CRZ clearance granted to the Mumbai Trans Harbour Link (MTHL) Project

The officials of the MMRDA requested the Authority for relaxation of the following 2 conditions stipulated in Environment clearance dated 25th January, 2016 granted to the Mumbai Trans Harbour Link (MTHL) THL project by the MoEF, New Delhi.

Member Secretary

Page 89 of 91

- MMRDA to ensure that construction activities near flamingo habitat area may be restricted to the season when flamingos are not on the site or not in larger flock
- The project proponent shall not undertake any blasting/construction activities during night hours

Officials of the MMRDA presented that MMRDA has agreed to comply with all the conditions stipulated in the EC dated 25^{th} January, 2016 except above said 2 conditions. It was further presented that survey has been carried out for flamingos at various location near the project site especially at sewri and Mahul. And, it is revealed that flamingos arrive anytime from November to January till June. Further, monsoon season starts from June to September, wherein construction work hampers. Considering this, 1 to 3 months are practically available for the construction work of the said project. This will seriously hamper the speed of the work for completion of the project.

Further, official of MMRDA further presented that proposed bridge is in intertidal zone. Many activities need to be carried out during low tide. With restrictions of night construction, it will cause delay in completing the construction work practically. The condition of night construction needs to be relaxed so that construction can be completed in 5 years on schedule. Further, the MMRDA does not envisage the blasting activity, however, construction activity will be carried out during day and night as per requirement.

The Authority discussed the matter and felt that relaxing the above stated 2 conditions would help in minimising the time for completion of the construction work. The Authority further suggested the MMRDA to exercise every possible effort to minimize disturbance to flamingo habitat during construction time. As envisaged by the MMRDA, the blasting activity during the night time could be avoided.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the matter to MoEF, New Delhi for relaxation of the above said 2 conditions stipulated in EC dated 25^{th} January, 2016.

Member Secretary

Page 90 of 91

<u>Table item no.1:</u> Proposed development of waterfront at Gaimukh, Dist: Thane-Phase II.

Officials of the MMB presented the proposal before the Authority. The proposal is for development of waterfront at Gaimukh, Dist: Thane. The MCZMA has approved the waterfront project at 150 m stretch in phase I and work is in progress. In second phase, waterfront of 450 m is proposed for development, wherein following activities are proposed:

- · Landscaping, promenade
- 2 jetties along with sheet piling, clubhouse and other tourist facilities, food counters, pergolas, ticket counters, sculpture, toilet block.

Officials of MMB presented that project will be a major tourist attraction in the area and it will promote water-transport in Vasai creek.

After deliberation, the Authority decided to recommend the proposal from CRZ point of view to SEIAA subject to compliance of following conditions:

- 1. Proposed development should be in accordance with provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011 (amended from time to time).
- 2. Mangroves, if any on site, should not be cut / destroyed for the project.
- Construction of clubhouse, permanent food courts is not allowed in CRZ I area.
- 4. Wastewater from the clubhouse and other tourist facilities should not be discharged into the creek water.
- 5. Construction debris should not be dumped into the creek.
- 6. All other necessary permissions from different competent authorities shall be taken before commencement of the proposed activities.

------Meeting ended with vote of thanks to Chairman------

Annexure I

List of the members present during the meeting -

- 1) Dr. S.M. Deshpande, Jt. Commissioner, Fisheries
- 2) Mr. S.S. Chavan, Deputy Chief Engineer (DPII), MCGM
- 3) Dr. Mahesh Shindikar, CoEP, Pune
- (4) Dr. B. N. Patil, Director , Environment & Member Secretary, MCZMA

Member Secretary

Page 91 of 91